

Draft FinalReport

Post Procurement review: RHD Part

(Contract Package # CPTU/SR-002 (a)

Prepared by : Md. Afil Uddin , PPRC

03June 2018

Table of Content

Subject	Page
Abbreviations and Acronyms	3-5
Background	6
Objective of the Assignment	6-7
Scope of the Assignment	7-8
Methodology	9
Selection of Contract Samples for Review	9-12
Visit PE offices for Documentary review of Contract	12
Physical inspections in implementation sites	13
Desk Work and Findings	13-24
Recommendations	25
Annexure-1	
A. Individual Contract Review Forma (for contract 1-12)	26-74
B. Profile of Procurement Items Reviewed (B.1,B2,B3)	74-76
C. Post Reviews of Contracts Awarded Under Request for Quotation Method	76-77
D. Post Reviews of Goods/ Works Contracts (for contracts 2-12)	77-98
E. Post Reviews of Consultants Contracts (for contract 1)	99-100
F. Report on Physical Inspection	101-117

Abbreviations and Acronyms

AA	:	Approval Authority
APP	:	Annual Procurement Plan
BWDB	:	Bangladesh Water Development Board
CD	:	Compact Disc
CPTU	:	Central Procurement Technical Unit
CSOS	:	Community Service Organization Selection
DCS	:	Design Contest Selection
DG	:	Director General
DoFP	:	Delegation of Financial Power
DP	:	Development Partner
DPM	:	Direct Procurement Method
EED	:	Education Engineering Department
e-GP	:	Electronic Government Procurement
FBS	:	Fixed Budget Selection
FRERMIP	:	Flood and Riverbank Erosion Risk Management Investment Program
GoB	:	Government of Bangladesh
GoN	:	Government of Netherlands
HRD	:	Human Resource Development
IC	:	Individual Consultant
ICS	:	Individual Consultant Selection
ICT	:	International Competitive Tendering
IFT	:	Invitation for Tender
IMED	:	Implementation Monitoring & Evaluation Division

LCS	:	Least Cost Selection
LD	:	Liquated Damage
LOI	:	Letter of Intent
LTM	:	Limited Tendering Method
MCIPS	:	Member of the Chartered Institute of Procurement and Supply
MS	:	Microsoft
NCT	:	National Competitive Tendering
NOA	:	Notification of Award
OSTETM	:	One Stage Two Envelope Tendering Method
OTM	:	Open Tendering Method
PA	:	Project Aid
PD	:	Project Director
PE	:	Procuring Entity
PEC	:	Proposal Evaluation Committee
PIC	:	Project Implementation Committee
PMO	:	Project Management Office
PO	:	Purchase Order
POC	:	Proposal Opening Committee
PPA	:	Public Procurement Act
PPR	:	Public Procurement Rules
PQ	:	Pre-Qualification
QBS	:	Quality Based Selection
QCBS	:	Quality and Cost Based Selection
REOI	:	Request for Expression of Interest
RFQM	:	Requests for Quotation Method
RHD	:	Roads & Highways Department

SBCQ	:	Selection Based on Consultant's Qualifications
SRFP	:	Standard Request for Proposal
SSS	:	Single Source Selection
STD	:	Standard Tender Document
TEC	:	Tender Evaluation Committee
TOC	:	Tender Opening Committee
TSTM	:	Two Stage Tendering Method

1. Background

Under the provision of section -24 of the Public Procurement Act(PPA)-2006 and Rule-45 & 46 of the Public Procurement Rule(PPR) 2008, Central Procurement Technical Unit(CPTU) is entrusted with the responsibility to review and monitor the progress of implementation of the PPA and PPR in procurement management in government agencies.. Accordingly the CPTU has decided to undertake a sample Procurement review of the past Procurement activities carried out by 4 Procuring Entities for monitoring compliance with the Public Procurement Act 2006 and provisions of these Rules by using CPTU's own resources, by hiring 4 independent consultants.

Md. Afil Uddin has been appointed an Independent Consultant ("Consultant ") by the CPTU (" Client) to review the Procurement activities carried out in 2015-16 by Five Procuring Entities under Roads and Highways Department. The tenure of the consultancy service is started from 8th April 2018 up to 30 June 2018.

2. Objective of the Assignment

The overall objective of the assignment is to check the compliance of the provision of Public Procurement Act-2006 and Rules-2008 in the procurement process through review the records and other necessary documents related to the sample contract.

The specific objectives are to:

- (a) determine whether the procurement processes and contracting proceedings are in accordance with the Public Procurement Act and Rules ;
- (b) review whether STD is followed & tender document is prepared in line with the act and rules;
- (c) assess the extent to which Value-for-Money is being achieved in the procurement process as required by the Procuring Entities;

- (d) review and access the capacity of the Procuring Entity in handling procurement from preparation to contract implementation and documentation are maintained as per Rules & required standards;
- (e) review and assess that the procurements made are being used for the intended purpose;
- (f) identify improvements in the procurement contracting in the light of deficiencies identified in the earlier review; and
- (g) any other issues that may appear pertinent.

3. Scope of the Services

(i) The procurement process of any Procuring Entity shall be reviewed by the Consultant if the total procurement performed in a financial year worth at least taka 100.00 million. The Individual Consultant will review the procurement contracts awarded in the financial year 2015-2016. The Consultant will review and evaluate Twelve (12) procurement contracts from selected five (5) Procuring Entities of RHD. The consultant will-

- a. verify the procurement and contracting proceeding executed by the procuring entity and identify non-compliance with the procurement Act and Rules;
- b. verify whether PE has used Standard Tender Documents in its Procurement content & put necessary information in Tender Data Sheet and other formats correctly.
- c. verify, to the extent possible, whether the Goods, Works and Consulting Services contracted were supplied and/or completed according to the required specifications and technical standards;
- d. identify inappropriate practices or questionable decisions and/or actions;
- e. verify whether documentation and record keeping systems that are in place are adequate for ensuring post review requirements;
- f. verify whether goods and works exist at intended locations and are being used for the purposes they were acquired;

- g. determine remedies to correct the identified deficiencies;
- (ii) For each contract package, the consultants will review the following key elements(Annexure1):
- a. Procurement plan, budget, official cost estimate;
 - b. Procurement type, category, method, objective, financing arrangement (in case of DP's supported project) ;
 - c. Procurement opportunities (advertisements in newspaper and in website);
 - d. Tender/proposal submissions (no of sale/issuance of tender/proposal documents, no of participants, days allows for preparation and submission);
 - e. Formation of TOC/POC and TEC/PEC (no of members, external members);
 - f. Tenders/Proposals Evaluation (opening, days between opening and evaluation, responsiveness (responsive & non-responsive), recommendations of the evaluation committee);
 - g. Post qualification;
 - h. Approval of tenders/proposals (actual days between opening & evaluation and between evaluation & approval, approval authority as delegation of financial power, actual approval authority, actual days for issuance of NOA/contract signing/LOI);
 - i. Contract award (procurement processing lag & lead time);
 - j. Completion of contract (time specified for supply/execution/perform);
 - k. Complaints and appeal (reason of complain, resolution of complaints, decision of review panel);
 - l. Contract amendment (no of amendment, contract time extension, variation/extra work/ repeat/additional delivery orders etc.);
 - m. Contract disputes unresolved;
 - n. Action on corruption, fraudulence, collusive and coercive if identified ;
 - o. Procurement management capacity (no of staff trained in procurement) etc.

4. Methodology:

- i The consultant has prepared a work plan & visit program for post procurement review of contracts and to identify and obtain the required documents and information on contracts ;
 - ii Visited the Projects sites where the works are in execution of the selected contracts.
 - iii Obtain project documents of 5 PE's
 - iv Prepared the brief descriptions of the projects of 5 PE's
 - v Prepared and submitted the inception and interim reports
 - vi Prepared and submitted the Draft final reports
- A more detail of the jobs carried out by the consultant is stated in the following paragraphs .

5. Selection of Contract Samples for Review

The CPTU has provided the list of five PEs under RHD to the Consultant for Post Procurement Review as follows :

- a. **Project Director, 3rd Sitalakhya Bridge Construction Project at Bandar Upazila, Narayanganj.**
- b. **Project Director, Construction of 4-lane Flyover at Bhulta on Dhaka- Sylhet National Highway.**
- c. **Project Director, SASEC Road Connectivity Project : Improvement of Joydebpur-Chandra-Tangail-Elenga Road (N-4) to a 4-lane Highway**
- d. **Additional Chief Engineer, RHD, Dhaka Zone.**
- e. **Superintending Engineer, RHD, Dhaka Road Circle**

The Consultant has met with all the PEs and discussed with them to select 12 contracts. Based on the information and documents obtained from the PEs, brief descriptions of the 5 contracts under 3 projects and 7 contracts under 2 PE's were prepared. Project/PE wise descriptions of selected contracts are presented below.

(i) Name of Project / PE: 3rd Sitalakhya Bridge Construction Project at Bandar Upazila, Narayanganj

Introduction:

The 3rd Sitalakhya Bridge Construction Project at Bandar Upazila, Narayanganj, having 3 major components (1 works, 1 goods and 1 services) funded by Government of Bangladesh (GOB) and Saudi Fund for Development (SFD) is approved for the cost of TK..37761.78 lac. The implementation period of the project was from 1/11/2010 to 31/12 2013. Later on the project is revised for a cost of Taka.59927.51 lac and extended Completion date is up to 30/06/ 2021

Geographical Coverage:

The project is located at Narayanganj and will serve the communication between Bandar Upazila and Narayanganj HQ. It will also help to establish alternate route between Southern part of Bangladesh and Chittagong.

Procurements:

Project Management Unit (PMU) , headed by PD, is responsible for procurement of one works, one goods and one Consultancy services contract After discussion with PD, the one Works contract and one service contract is selected for review as the Goods contract is not yet Awarded.

Works: Package No. PW-1:Construction of 3rd Shitalakhya Bridge and Approach Road Services : Package No:1 Supervision of Construction works of 3rd Shitalakhya Bridge

(ii) Name of Project/PE: Construction of 4-lane flyover at Bhulta on Dhaka-Sylhet National Highway.

Introduction:

The project for Construction of 4-lane flyover at Bhulta on Dhaka-Sylhet National Highway is funded by GOB from Development Budget. The project is approved by ECNECon 29th October 2013 with only one major component having project cost Tk 23977.91lac. The commencement and completion date of the project is 01/07/2013 and 30/05/2015-respectively. Later on the completion date of the project is extended up to 30/06 2017. Presently a revision of the project is under process..

Geographical Coverage:

The project is located at Bhulta Bazar, an intersection of 2 National highways and 2 Regional highways. It will ease traffic movement and reduce the traffic congestion of the very busy intersection & commercial place. The project is Situated at the heart of a busy commercial area & wholesale market.

Procurements:

Project Management Unit (PMU), headed by PD is responsible for procurement of major one works contract which is selected for review.:**Package No:WD01Construction of 4-lane Flyover at Bhulta on Dhaka –Sylhet National**

Name of Project /PE. SASEC Road Connectivity Project: Improvement of Joydebpur-Chandra-Tangail-Elenga Road (N-4) to a 4-lane Highway

Introduction:

The SASEC Road Connectivity Project: Improvement of Joydebpur-Chandra-Tangail-Elenga Road (N-4) to a 4-lane Highway consists of 6 major components(5works and 1 Services) and funded by Government of Bangladesh(GOB), Asian Development Bank(ADB), OPEC Fund for International Development(OFID) and Abu Dabi Fund for Development(ADFD). The project was approved by ECNEC on 23 April 2013 for the cost of TK.27884.555 Million. The implementation period was from April 2010 to March 2018. Later on the 1st revision of the contract is made due to mainly for land acquisition where the revised cost stands Tk.30668.02 Million.

Geographical Coverage:

The project starts from Vogra under Joydebpur districts and ends at Elenga covering Chandra, Kalialor, Dullamari,Chandra and Tangail. Under this project the RHD head Office will also be constructed at Tejgaon.

Procurements:

Project Management Unit (PMU), headed by PD is responsible for procurement of major 5 works contact Fy 2015-2016. Out of 5 contracts, 2 contracts mentioned below has selected for review

Package:W-01 ,Lot WP-01

Part-1:Improvement of Road from Bogra Bazar Intersection to Kaliakur Bypass Intersection (18.9km) from 2-lane to 4lane including Structures

Package:W-02 ,Lot WP-04

Part-1:Improvement of Road from Tangail to Elenga Intersection (10km) from 2-lane to 4 lane including Structures.

(iv) Name of Project/PE: ACE,Dhaka Zone, RHD.

Introduction:

The Procuring Entity, Additional Chief Engineer (RHD) is responsible for constructing and maintaining all the National, Regional and District Highways of greater Dhaka. . Every financial year both Development fund and reveniue fund is allocated for development and maintenance works.

Geographical Coverage:

The contracts are located at 6 districts of greater Dhaka(Dhaka, Narayangonj, Gazipur, Narsingdhi, Munshigonj,and Manikgonj).

Procurements:

The PE awarded 36 contacts (works) amounting to **BDT3633.64 million** during the FY:2015-2016. Out of 36 contacts 35 contract is processed through e-GP.and 1 big contract is processed through OTM. There was no goods and service contract during the FY2015-2016. From the above 36 contracts 4 following contracts is selected for review.

Works Package No: DZ(e-GP)-02/PMP(Road) 2015-2016

Construction of rigid pavement at 7th(p) km, 8th km, 9th(p) km of Birulia-Ashulia Road and Construction of rigid pavement including HBB at Hard Shoulder at 2nd (p) km, & 3rd (p) km of Shinnirtek-Gabtoli Bridge connecting (U-loop) Road under Dhaka Road Division during the year 2015-2016

Works Package No: DZ (e-GP)-12/ Dev2015-2016

Improvement of Kapasia- Pabur-Fuldi-Kaligonj Road by widening, Strengthening,, carpeting and seal coat from ch.o.oo to 14+950, 17+950 to 21+700 under Gazipur Road Division during the year 2015-2016

Works: Package No: DZ (e-GP) -19/Dev 2015-2016

Construction of 216.34 m long (5x 42.68m) PC Girder over Bongshi River at 2nd km of Balia- Warshi-Mirjapur Road under Manikgonj Road Division during the year 2015-2016

Works: Package No:DZ-21 /Dev/2015-2016 Date 28-03-2016

Construction of 510.402 m long (45.732x11) PC Girder Charsindur Bridge at 32nd km of Gazipur – Ajmatpur – Itakhola Regional Highway at Charsindur over The River Sitalakhya under Narsindhhi Road Division during the year 2015-2016

(v) Name of Project/PE. Superintending Engineer, Dhaka Road Circle, RHD.

Introduction:

The Procuring Entity, Superintending Engineer (RHD) is responsible for constructing and maintaining all the National, Regional and District Highways of Greater Dhaka. Every financial year both development fund and revenue fund is allocated for development and maintenance works

Geographical Coverage:

The contracts are located at 6 districts of greater Dhaka (Dhaka, Narayanganj, Gazipur, Narsingdi, Munshiganj, and Manikganj).

Procurements:

The PE. awarded 36 contracts (works) amounting to **BDT1399.90million** during the FY:2015-2016. All contracts are processed through e-GP in open tendering method. There was no goods and service contract during the FY 2015-2016. Out of 36 contracts 3 following contracts are selected for review.

Works:23/e-GP/SE/DRC/ 2015-2016

Strengthening & Surfacing (DBS Wearing Course), RCC U Drain, RCC palasiding and earthen shoulder at 1st km(p) 4th Km (p), 5th km(p), 6th km(p) and 7th km (p) of Abdullahpur–Terumukh Road (Z3003) of Dhaka Road Division, during the year 2015-2016

Works:27/e-GP/SE/DRC/ 2015-2016

Repairing damaged pavement by Aggregate Base Type-II and Premixed Bituminous carpeting and seal coat at 14th(p) km, 15th(p) km, 16th(p) km, 17th(p) km 18th(p) km and 19th(p) km of Narsingdi – Madanganj Road (R114) under Narayanganj Road Division, during the year 2015-2016

Works: 32/e-GP/SE/DRC/2015-2016

Improvement of Shibpur –Dulapur-Lakpur-Hatirdia Road by Strengthening and surfacing from 3rd km to 7th km under Narsingdi Road Division during the year 2015-2016

6. Visit PE offices for Documentary Review of Contract

Consultant visited the offices of 5 PE and discussed with them about the aim of consultancy services. As per the request of the consultant the All the PE nominated a contract person for each project /Office .of the PE. The consultant has collected Project document, Procurement plan, Invitation for expressions of Interest, Invitation for Prequalification Notice, Invitation for Tender Notice, Evaluation reports for Prequalification, short listing, Tender and RFP. Consultant also collected Contract document of the all 12 contracts from the individual contract person. The consultant also collected opening reports, Clarifications, Addendum, Complaints, Minutes of meetings related to procurement. Approvals of committee and

reports, contract are also collected. By scrutinising the document consultant has filled up the Individual contract form for all the 12 contracts .

7. Physical Inspections in implementation sites

The Consultant also visited locations where the works were performed/ are being performed physically to see the present condition of the contract. Present condition of the contract is narrated in the Format of Physical Inspection. Based on information collected from PE's offices and from field visits the draft final, has been prepared. It may be mentioned that the Contracts under Foreign aided projects are executed by the PD and his subordinates with the help of consultants engaged for supervision of construction works. The execution of the works under GOB funds are executed by the respective Executive Engineers and his subordinates according to the jurisdiction.

8. Desk Work and Findings

The Consultant collected information from the offices of the PE. The most of the information and Data are obtained from the PQ/Tender/RFP document, Evaluation Report And contract documents. Some information are collected from the offices of the PE by asking them to provide the particular data viz. date of approval of the contract, Time extension of the contracts, Revision of the DPP, Variation of the contract, date of completion of the contracts etc. Based on the information collected consultant filled up the FORMATS: for Individual Contract Review, to identify nos and category of Procurements in review period (works, goods and Service), to identify nos of procurement reviewed during the review period and to identify nos of inspection performed during the review period. The consultant also filled up the FORMATS for : post reviews of contracts awarded under RFQM, post reviews of Goods/works Contracts , Post reviews of Consultants Contract and prepare a tabular report on Physical Inspections performed during the review period. By analysing the information presented in the different formats (Annexure-1), the Consultant made an endeavour to set some findings on every contract which is narrated below.

8.1 Total numbers of contracts of goods, works and services of the 3 projects (3 PD's) and 2 PEs (FY:2015- 2016) are (1+2+6+36+36) 81 nos. with total values of BDT 37553.21 million. Total numbers of selected sample contract packages from 3 projects are 5 Nos and from 2 PEs are 7 Nos . The total contract price for the reviewed contract is BDT 18775.16 million.

8.2 Review of selected sample contracts covers 70% and 20% of total numbers of contracts awarded by 3 project Office and 2 PE in FY 2015-2016 respectively. In respect

of values the selected sample contracts covers 60 % and 21% of total values of procurements executed by the 3 project office and 2 PE's respectively.

8.3 Contract wise detail findings are:

Contract Name: Consultancy Services for Supervision of Construction works of 3rd Shitalakhya Bridge at Bandar Upazila , Narayangonj.

- Procurement was done following PPR-2008 and SFD guide line. Most of the original copy of the document related to procurement of consultancy services for supervision of Construction works of 3rd Shitalakhya Bridge at Bandar Upazila , Narayangonj is kept in Project file of PD office. The APD of the project also maintain a soft copy in the Laptop.. So it is easy to locate any documents from the Laptop..
- Advertisement for LOIs published in Newspaper, RFD website and CPTUs website is accordance with the provision of PPR-2008. LOI submission period 41 days seems high compared to minimum 21 days .Minutes of the meeting of LOI issued after 13 days of the meeting instead of time allowed 7 days.
- Short listing of consultants was made verifying the documents submitted by the interested firms. RFP is issued to short listed firm giving preparation and submission time 54 days instead of minimum time 28 Days resulting delayed the procurement..
- Evaluation of LOI took longer time 40 days compared to 23 days resulting delayed the procurement.
- Required time 70 days for approval of evaluation of LOE is also high compared to allowed time 35 days resulting delayed the procurement
- .Contract award was not made within the initial Proposal validity period of 120 days. The validity period of RFP was extended up to 204 days. Although there is no limitation of time extension but it is the result of summation of delay of both Evaluation and Approval .
- ❖ Agreed provisions were complied. All payments were not made following Rules. However Contract award was made within the initial Tender/Proposal validity period

Contract Name: Construction of 3rd Shitalakhya Bridge and Approach Road

- Procurement was done following PPR-2008 and SFD guide line. Most of the original copy document related to procurement of Construction works of 3rd Shitalakhya Bridge at Bandar Upazilla, Narayanganj is kept in Project file of PD office. The APD of the project also maintained a soft copy in the Laptop. So it is easy to locate any documents from the Laptop..
- Advertisement for Prequalification is published in Newspaper, RFD website and CPTUs website in accordance with the provision of PPR-2008.
- Submission period for application is kept 46 days seems high compared to Minimum requirement 21 days. Practically the time is further extended up to 63 days which delayed the procurement process.
- The tender document is issued to prequalified tenderer giving the preparation and submission time 72 days which may seem high. The time is extended further up to 118 days which is not in the line of PPR2008. However the time allowed is justified as the tender was a design build Tender where the tenderer requires enough time for preparation of design & drawing, specification and BOQ.
- Evaluation of prequalification took longer time 42 days compared to 28 days resulting in delayed procurement.
- Technical evaluation of Tender took longer time 81 days compared to 28 days resulting in delayed procurement. However the combined evaluation took 21 days which is within limit..
- Required time for approval Combined ER took 53 days seems reasonable compared to allowed time.
- Contract award was not made within the initial Proposal validity period of 120 days. The validity period of RFP was extended up to 235 days. Although there is no limitation of time extension but it is the result of summation of delay of both Evaluation and Approval.
- Post qualification was made by verifying documents submitted by the responsive lowest Tenderer
- Formats for preparation of Evaluation report are unique for this tender because of design build.
- Based on Specified Contract form, Contract document was prepared
- Performance security was verified and found valid.
- DP's concurrence was taken on Technical evaluation and combined evaluation.

- No Variations/Repeat Orders was made yet.
- The implementation of the contract is still on-going
- Agreed provisions were complied.

Contract Name: Construction of 4-lane flyover at Bhulta on Dhaka-Sylhet National Highway.

- Procurement was done following PPR-2008. Most of the original copy document related to procurement of Construction of 4-lane flyover at Bhulta on Dhaka-Sylhet National Highway is kept in Project file of PD office.
- Advertisement for Tender is published in Newspaper, RFD website and CPTU's website in accordance with the provision of PPR-2008.
- Preparation and Submission period for Tender is kept 75 days seems high compared to Minimum requirement 28 days.
- The combined evaluation of the tender took abnormally high time 81 days compared to the allowed time 30 days. During this time the evaluation committee took opinion from CPTU about percentage basis modification. The evaluation committee further finalise the price with responsive Tenderer on their modification. As a result time spend for evaluation increased.
- Required time 53 days for approval of combined evaluation of Tender seems reasonable compared to allowed time.
- Contract award was not made within the initial Proposal validity period of 120 days. The validity period of Tender was extended up to 235 days. Although there is no limitation of time extension but it is the result of summation of delay of both Evaluation and Approval
- Post qualification was made verifying documents submitted by the responsive lowest Tenderer
- Guidance notes and formats for preparation of Evaluation report and Tender Opening for Procurement of Works were followed.
- Based on Specified Contract form, Contract document was prepared
- Performance security was verified and found valid
- The contract is ongoing
- Agreed provisions were complied.

Contract Name: Improvement of Road from Bogra Bazar Intersection to Kaliakur Bypass Intersection (18.9km) from 2-lane to 4 lane including Structures.

- Procurement was done following PPR-2008 and ADBguide line.. Most of the original copy document related to procurement of Improvement of Road from Bogra Bazar Intersection to Kaliakur Bypass Intersection (18.9km) from 2-lane to 4lane including Structures is kept in Project file of PD office. The PM and contact person ABM Sertajur Rahman also maintained a soft copy in the Laptop. So it is easy to locate any documents from the Laptop
- Advertisement for Teder is published in Newspaper, RFD website, CPTUs website and in the dg market is accordance with the provision of PPR-2008.
- Preparation and Submission period for Tender is kept originally 62 days and extended to 72 days seems high compared to Minimum requirement 28 days.
- Technical Evaluation was originally done in 6weeks time. Later on it took 81 days for re-evaluation which is high compared to allowed time.
- Required time for approval of Tech ER and Combined ER took 156 and 82days respectively seems very high. compared to allowed time(+.6weeks).
- .Contract award was not made within the initial Proposal validity period of 180days .They cat show the permission for y keeping 60 days extra time but the take opinion from HOPE and ADB on draft tender document.The validity period of Tender was extended up to 235 days. Although there is no limitation of time extension but it is the result of summation of delay of both Evaluation and Approval
- Post qualification was made verifying documents submitted by the responsive lowest Tenderer
- Guidance notes and formats for preparation of Evaluation report and Tender Opening for Procurement of Works were followed.
- Based on Specified Contract form, Contract document was prepared
- Performance security was verified and found valid
- The contract is ongoing

Agreed provisions were complied.

Contract Name:Improvement of Road from Tangail to Elenga Intersection (10km) from 2-lane to 4 lane including Structures..

- Procurement was done following PPR-2008 and ADBguide line.. Most of the original copy document related to procurement of Improvement of Road from

Bogra Bazar Intersection to Kaliakur Bypass Intersection (18.9km) from 2-lane to 4lane including Structures is kept in Project file of PD office. The PM and contact person ABM Sertajur Rahman also maintained a soft copy in the Laptop. So it is easy to locate any documents from the Laptop

- Advertisement for Teder is published in Newspaper, RFD website, CPTUs website and in the dg market is accordance with the provision of PPR-2008.
- Preparation and Submission period for Tender is kept originally 62 days and extended to 72 days seems high compared to Minimum requirement 28 days.
- Technical Evaluation was originally done in 6weeks time. Later on it took 81 days for re-evaluation which is high compared to allowed time.
- Required time for approval of Tech ER and Combined ER took 186 and 102days respectively seems very high compared to allowed time(+.6weeks).
- .Contract award was not made within the initial Proposal validity period of 180days .They cat show the permission for y keeping 60 days extra time but the take opinion from HOPE and ADB on draft tender document.The validity period Of Tender was extended up to 235 days. Although there is no limitation of time extension but it is the result of summation of delay of both Evaluation and Approval
- Post qualification was made verifying documents submitted by the responsive lowest Tenderer
- Guidance notes and formats for preparation of Evaluation report and Tender Opening for Procurement of Works were followed.
- Based on Specified Contract form, Contract document was prepared
- Performance security was verified and found valid
- The contract is ongoing

Agreed provisions were complied.

Contract Name: Construction of rigid pavement at 7th(p) km, 8th km, 9th(p) km of Birulia-Ashulia Road and Construction of rigid pavement including HBB at Hard Shoulder at 2nd (p) km, & 3rd (p) km of Shinnirtek-Gabtolli Bridge connecting (U-loop) Road under Dhaka Road Division during the year 2015-2016

- Procurement was done following e_GP Guidelines. Most of the document is kept in archive of e-GP portal. So it can be easy to locate any file from the archive of e-GP

portal. But the papers regarding Contract Administration and Management is not well documented. It is hard to locate all document from the manually handled file.

- Advertisement is published in in Newspaper and e-GP portals in accordance with the e-GP guide line. As per Rule , days allowed for preparation and Submission is minimum 21 while 28 days were allowed for preparation and Submission.
- Preparation and Submission period for Tender is kept originally 28 which conforms to the PPR
- Required time for Evaluation and approval is within the maximum limit of the PPR
- Guidance notes and formats for preparation of Evaluation report and Tender Opening for Procurement of Works were followed.
- Based on Specified Contract form, Contract document was prepared
- Contract award was made within the initial Proposal validity period of 150days .The PE kept 30 days more than the provision of PPR without Permission from HOPE. They cant show the permission forkeeping 30 days extra time beyond 120. For e-GP tender 120days validity is enough so it is unwise to keep high validity period.
- Performance security was verified and found valid .
- The contract was completed on 8/03/2016 (178 days)
- Approved APP was more or less followed .
- Agreed provisions were complied.

Contract Name: Improvement of Kapasia- Pabur-Fuldi-Kaligonj Road by widening, Strengthening,, carpeting and seal coat from ch.o.oo to 14+950, 17+950 to 21+700 under Gazipur Road Division during the year 2015-2016

- Procurement was done following e_GP Guidelines. Most of the document is kept in archive of e-GP portal. So it can be easy to locate any file from the archive of e-GP portal. But the papers regarding Contract Administration and Management is not well documented. It is hard to locate all document from the manually handled file.

- Advertisement is published in in Newspaper and e-GP portalas in accordance with the e-GP guide line. As per Rule , days allowed for preparation and Submission is minimum 21 while 28 days were allowed for preparation and Submission.
- Preparation and Submission period for Tender is kept originally 28 days which conforms to the PPR
- Required time for Evaluation and approval is within the maximum limit of the PPR
- Guidance notes and formats for preparation of Evaluation report and Tender Opening for Procurement of Works were followed.
- Based on Specified Contract form, Contract document was prepared
- Contract award was made within the initial Proposal validity period of 150days .The PE kept 30 days more than the provision of PPR without Permission from HOPE. They cant show the permission forkeeping 30 days extra time beyond 120. For e-GP tender 120days validity is enough so it is unwise to keep high validity period.
- Performance security was verified and found valid .
- The contract was completed on 28/11/2016 (365 days)
- Approved APP was more or less followed .
- Agreed provisions were complied

Contract Name: Construction of 216.34 m long (5x 42.68m) PC Girder over Bongshi River at 2nd km of Balia- Warshi-Mirjapur Road under Manikgonj Road Division during the year 2015-2016

- Procurement was done following e-GP Guidelines. Most of the document is kept in archive of e-GP portal. So it can be easy to locate any file from the archive of e-GP portal. But the papers regarding Contract Administration and Management is not well documented. It is hard to locate all document from the manually handled file.
- Advertisement is published in in Newspaper and e-GP portals in accordance with the e-GP guide line. As per Rule , days allowed for preparation and Submission is minimum 21 while 28 days were allowed for preparation and Submission.
- Preparation and Submission period for Tender is kept originally 28 days which conforms to the PPR
- Required time for Evaluation and approval is within the maximum limit of the PPR

- Guidance notes and formats for preparation of Evaluation report and Tender Opening for Procurement of Works were followed.
- Based on Specified Contract form, Contract document was prepared
- Contract award was made within the initial Proposal validity period of 150days .The PE kept 30 days more than the provision of PPR without Permission from HOPE. They cant show the permission forkeeping 30 days extra time beyond 120. For e-GP tender 120days validity is enough so it is unwise to keep high validity period.
- Performance security was verified and found valid .
- The contract was completed on 30/08/2017 (18month days)
- Approved APP was more or less followed .
- Agreed provisions were complied

Contract Name: Construction of 510.402 m long (45.732x11) PC Girder Charsindur Bridge at 32nd km of Gazipur – Ajmatpur – Itakhola Regional Highway at Charsindur over The River Sitalakhya under Narsinhdhi Road Division during the year 2015-20

- Procurement was done following PPR-2008. Most of the original copy of the document related to procurement of Construction of 510.402 m long (45.732x11) PC Girder Charsindur Bridge at 32nd km of Gazipur – Ajmatpur – Itakhola Regional Highway at Charsindur over The River Sitalakhya under Narsinhdhi Road Division during the year 2015-20is kept in office file of the PE. They also keep the evaluation report and contract document as booklet. But the papers regarding Contract Administration and Management is not well documented. It is hard to locate all document from the manually handled file.
- Advertisement for Tender is published in Newspaper, RHD website and CPTUs website is accordance with the provision of PPR-2008.
- Preparation and Submission period for Tender is kept 33 days seems ok.
- The evaluation of the tender took 23 dayswhich is in line with the allowed time. days.
- Guidance notes and formats for preparation of Evaluation report and Tender Opening for Procurement of Goods and Works were followed.
- Based on Specified Contract form, Contract document was prepared
- Performance security was verified and found valid .

- The contract was ongoing. Variation from Tk 627476775.09 to 707839181.90 about 12.81% including Time extension is proposed by the PE. PE has taken the administrative approval for variation on 19.03.18
- Agreed provisions were complied.

Contract Name: Strengthening & Surfacing (DBS Wearing Course), RCC U Drain, RCC palasiding and earthen shoulder at 1st km(p) 4th Km (p), 5th km(p), 6th km(p) and 7th km (p) of Abdullahpur–Terumukh Road (Z3003) of Dhaka Road Division, during the year 2015-2016

- Procurement was done following e-GP Guidelines. Most of the document is kept in archive of e-GP portal. So it can be easy to locate any file from the archive of e-GP portal. But the papers regarding Contract Administration and Management is not well documented. It is hard to locate all document from the manually handled file.
- Advertisement is published in in Newspaper and e-GP portals in accordance with the e-GP guide line. As per Rule , days allowed for preparation and Submission is minimum 21 while 28 days were allowed for preparation and Submission.
- Preparation and Submission period for Tender is kept originally 28 days which conforms to the PPR
- Required time for Evaluation and approval is within the maximum limit of the PPR
- Guidance notes and formats for preparation of Evaluation report and Tender Opening for Procurement of Works were followed.
- Based on Specified Contract form, Contract document was prepared
- Contract award was made within the initial Proposal validity period of 150days .The PE kept 30 days more than the provision of PPR without Permission from HOPE. They cant show the permission forkeeping 30 days extra time beyond 120. For e-GP tender 120days validity is enough so it is unwise to keep high validity period.
- Performance security was verified and found valid .
- The contract was completed on 30/04/2016 (3 months)

- Approved APP was more or less followed .
- Agreed provisions were complied

Contract Name: Repairing damaged pavement by Aggregate Base Type-II and Premixed Bituminous carpeting and seal coat at 14th(p) km, 15th(p) km, 16th(p) km, 17th(p) km 18th(p) km and 19th(p) km of Narsindhi – Madangonj Road (R114) under Narayangonj Road Division, during the year 2015-2016

- Procurement was done following e_GP Guidelines. Most of the document is kept in archive of e-GP portal. So it can be easy to locate any file from the archive of e-GP portal. But the papers regarding Contract Administration and Management is not well documented. It is hard to locate all document from the manually handled file.
- Advertisement is published in in Newspaper and e-GP portalas in accordance with the e-GP guide line. As per Rule , days allowed for preparation and Submission is minimum 21 while 21 days were kept for preparation and Submission.
- Required time for Evaluation and approval is within the maximum limit of the PPR
- Guidance notes and formats for preparation of Evaluation report and Tender Opening for Procurement of Works were followed.
- Based on Specified Contract form, Contract document was prepared
- Contract award was made within the initial Proposal validity period of 150days .The PE kept 30 days more than the provision of PPR without Permission from HOPE. They cant show the permission forkeeping 30 days extra time beyond 120. For e-GP tender 120days validity is enough so it is unwise to keep high validity period.
- Performance security was verified and found valid .
- The contract was completed on27/05/2016 (60 days)
- Approved APP was more or less followed .

- Agreed provisions were complied.

Contract Name: Improvement of Shibpur –Dulapur-Lakpur-Hatirdia Roadby Strengthening and surfacing from 3rd km to7th km under Narsingdi Road Division durin the year 2015-2016

- Procurement was done following e_GP Guidelines. Most of the document is kept in archive of e-GP portal. So it can be easy to locate any file from the archive of e-GP portal. But the papers regarding Contract Administration and Management is not well documented. It is hard to locate all document from the manually handled file.
- Advertisement is published in in Newspaper and e-GP portalas in accordance with the e-GP guide line. As per Rule , days allowed for preparation and Submission is minimum 21 while 21 days were kept for preparation and Submission.
- Required time for Evaluation and approval is within the maximum limit of the PPR
- Guidance notes and formats for preparation of Evaluation report and Tender Opening for Procurement of Works were followed.
- Based on Specified Contract form, Contract document was prepared
- Contract award was made within the initial Proposal validity period of 150days .The PE kept 30 days more than the provision of PPR without Permission from HOPE. They cant show the permission forkeeping 30 days extra time beyond 120. For e-GP tender 120days validity is enough so it is unwise to keep high validity period.
- Performance security was verified and found valid .
- The contract was completed on 28/06/2016 (60 days)
- Approved APP was more or less followed .
- Agreed provisions were complied.

9. Recommendations

Based on findings , the Consultant took efforts to make some recommendations that are narrated below.

- Out of the 12 procurements under review, 6 were done following e-GP Guidelines and for that, most of the document is kept in archive of e-GP portal. So it is easy to locate any file from the archive of e-GP portal. Rest 6 procurements were done following PPR 2008. In the PD offices the original documents of procurements are kept in the files. Most of the offices there is a person who maintains procurement records as soft copy.
- Most of the procurements in non e-GP system, tender preparation and submission time were kept more than the minimum requirements of the PPR-2008, Rule-61(4). However in some procurements time for preparation and submission time were kept more than the minimum requirements as a result tender processing time is increased. For efficient procurement the time allowed should be reasonable.
- In case of Big individual projects and foreign aided project, the original copy of the document related to procurement is kept in Project file of PD office. The delegated person of the PD office also preserve the soft copy of all document specially the procurement related document in the Laptop.. So it is easy to locate any documents from the Laptop..
- In case of design build tender the time for preparation and submission of tender required at least 3 months because the design build tenders are invited generally for complex structure for which design and drawing ,specification and bill of quantity has to be prepared by the tenderer .So for design bill tender minimum requirements should be different from the normal tender . And that should be incorporated in the rule .
- In most cases of the procurement with assistance from development partners, the time for evaluation of prequalification, technical and combined evaluation of tender of one stage two envelop tendering method require more time than the allowed time mentioned in schedule III for foreign aided projects in every stage of procurement process concurrence is to be taken from the development partner . Sometimes reevaluation is required to follow their guidelines which necessitate extra time . So schedule III should be revised for OSTTEM under foreign aid.
- In most cases , except e- GP tender Procurement processing lead-time i.e. days actual between opening and issuance of NOA took longer time than envisaged in [Rule 8(14)] (Procurement Processing and Approval Timetable). It needs to be consistent with PPR-2008, Rule 8(14) . But procurement processing lead time

should be ascertained based on procurement methods , size and complexity of contracts ,national / international tender etc. for the purpose of matching the rule 8(14)

- Similarly, days actual between IFT and issuance of NOA were longer than the time stipulated in PPR- 2008. It should be in line with PPR-2008.

Annexure-01

A(1). Individual Contract Review Format

Name of Project / Cotract: Construction of Shitalakhya Bridge Project(3rd Shitalakhya Bridge at Bandar Upazila, Narayangonj / Consultancy services for construction supervision of Shitalakhya Bridge Project (3rd Shitalakhya Bridge)

Part-1:General

Date of Review	07/05/18
Name of Reviewer	Md. Afil Uddin
Name of Procuring Entity	Project Directot (ACE) RHD, Construction of Shitalakhya(3 rd Sitalakhya) Bridge Project.
Contract Name/Reference No	Consultancy Services for Construction Supervision of Shitalakhya Bridge Project (3 rd Sitalakhya Bridge Project)
Description of Contracts / Objects of Procurement	Supervision of Construction works of Main Bridge, Viaduct, Approach road including River Bank protection, Toll plaza and associated ancillary Works.
Contract Amount	BDT 124024204.40 (BDT 124.02 million)
Procurement Type	ICT
Category of Procurement	Services
Method of Procurement	QCBS
Financing Arrangement of the Procurement	SFD Loan and GOB Development Fund

Part-2: Review of Documents

Sl No	Documents and Aspects	Applicable Provisions (PPR-2008)	Actual
1.0	Procurement Plan		

1.1	Approval Status of APP	Rule-16(4)	Procurement plan for Services Prepared and attached to DPP
1.2	Official Cost Estimate	Rule-16(4)	Approved
2.	Procurement Opportunities		
2.1	Advertisement for EOI published in Daily newspapers (ICT)	Rule 113(1),90(2)	LOI Notice Published; The Daily Star 21 Jan 2013 Jugantar 22 Jan13
2.2	Advertisement published in PE's website	Rule90(2)(za)	LOI Notice Published 16 Jan 13
2.3	Advertisement published in CPTU website	Rule90(2)(zha)	LOI Notice Published in CPTU 16 Jan 13
2.4	Advertisement published in dg market	Rule90(3) Not Mandatory	No
2.5	RFP Issued	Rule117(1)	05 May 2013
2.6	Followed e-GP guide lines Or PPR-2008	PPR2008	PPR-2008
2.6	Tenders followed DP's Guidelines	Rule-3(1) SFD Guidelines	Yes
3.	Tenders / Proposal Submission and Tender Opening		
3.1	Days allowed for preparation and Submission of LOI	Rule-113(2),Schedule III Min 21 days	16 Jan2013- 25 Feb2013 41Days
	Days allowed for preparation and Submission of RFP	Rule 117(19) Sch. III 42days	05May 2013 -27 June 2013 54 days
3.2	Days allowed for Pre-tender / Pre proposal/Prequalification meeting Minutes Issued	Rule18(1)(ga), Sche. III Max7days	27may13 -2June 13:6days
3.3	Deadline for submission of LOI/RFP	Rule-113(2),Schedule III Min 21 days	LOI : 25 Feb 2013 RFP :27 June2013
3.4	LOI/RFP Opening date , time and place	Rule 117(19) Sch. III 42days	LOI Opend : 25 Feb 2013 RFP Opend :27 June2013
3.5	No of LOI/ RFPs Issued	Not mentioned	LOI-15 RFP issued -7
3.6	No of Applicants// Consultants participated	Not mentioned	Applicants (LOI) 15 Consultants (RFP) -7
3.7	Tender/ Proposal Validity Period	Rule19(1)), Sche. III 60-120 days	120days from 27 June 13
3.8	Tender/Proposal Security Amount	Rule22(3) & (5) Not exceeding 3%	NA
4	Formation of TOC /POC and TEC/PEC :		
4.1	No of members in TOC/POC	Rule7,Schedule III 3 Members	3
4.2	No of member in TOC/POC from TEC/PEC	Rule7, Schedule III Min.1	2
4.3	Number of members in TEC/PEC	Rule8(1) Min.5, Max.7	7

		members	
4.5	Number of external members in TEC /PEC	Rule8(8) Min.1	2
4.6	Authority approved TOC/POC with date	Rule7 HOPE	HOPE,CE RHD 24Feb13
4.7	Authority approved TEC/PEC with date	Rule8(3) Secretary	Secretary RTHD 12 Feb 13
4.8	Chairperson of TEC/PEC	Rule10(1)(e) ACE/PD	PD SASEC (ACE), RHD
4.9	No of members in TSC	Rule8(14) Max.3	NA
5	Tenders /Proposals Evaluation		
5.1	Days allowed between opening and submission of ER of LOI/RFP	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 28days	LOI Application:25 FEB -14 march:17days RFP 27 june13- 7August13:40 Days
5.2	Actual days between opening and submission of evaluation	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 23days	LOI:17days RFP:40days
5.3	No of Responsive LOI/PQ Application/Tenders/ Proposals	Rule-98(14) No limit	LOI Application:7 Proposal:3
5.4	No of non Responsive LOI/PQ Application/Tender / Proposal with reasons		LOI Application: 8 RFP:4
5.5	Re-invitation of Tenders/ Proposals recommended by TEC/PEC	Rule11(2)	No
5.6	Procurement proceedings annulled/cancelled	Rule 11(2) Ga	No
6	Approval of Tenders/Proposals		
6.1	Days between submission of evaluation report and approval	Rule36(6), Schedule III :35days	RFP:10 Nov13-20Jan 14 70days
6.2	Approving Authority (AA) as per DoFP		Minister MRTB
6.3	Authority approved		Yes
6.4	Evaluation report was sent as per PPR to the AA	Rule 36(3)(Ka)(3)	Procurement Proposal placed to Minister
6.5	Date of approval decision received by PE	Rule36(4) Within 7 days of Approval	21Jan14
6.6	Date of issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6),Sch.e III	22Jan14
6.7	Authority other than AA if any made additional review of the evaluation report		No
6.8	Authority higher or lower than AA, if any, approved the Contracts		No
7.0	Contract Award		
7.1	Procurement Processing lag/lead-time i.e. days actual spend between opening and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6), Sch. III 9 Weeks	27June13-22 Jan14:205 days

7.2	Days actual spend between IFT/RFP and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI/contract signing	Rule36(6), Sch. III No limit for PM	5 May13-22 Jan14 :257days
7.3	Contract award made within the initial Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule 19(1) 60-120 days: 12oday	28June13- 22Jan14: 204 days
7.4	Extension of Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule19(2) No limit	yes
7.5	Publication of award in CPTU website/ PE's website/others	Rule 37(1), Sch. III	yes
8.0 Completion of Contract			
8.1	Days per original contract time specified for Supply/ Execution / Perform	ICD	54months
8.2	Days actual spend for Supply/ Execution / Perform		NA
8.3	Amount of LD imposed and enforcement for delays.	Rule42(3)	NA
8.4	Damage imposed due to remaining/incomplete work	Rule42(3)	NA
8.5	Termination of contract and reasons	Rule 42(2)	NA
9.0 Complaints and Appeal			
9.1	Complaint, if any, lodged and reasons thereof	Rule-57	No
9.2	Resolution of complaints per Rules	Rule-57	No
9.3	Modifications resulting from resolution of complaints	Rule-57	No
9.4	Appeal of Independent Review Panel	Rule58,59,60	No
9.5	Review Panel's decision and follow-on	Rule-60	No
10.Contract Amendment			
10.1	No of times contract time extended and days	Rule39(3) PE -1 HOPE No limit	NA
10.2	Contract amendment, variation/ Extra work order /Repeatorders /Additional Delivery etc	Rule39(18)	NA
10.3	No and amount of such orders		NA
11.0 Others			
11.1	Corrupt, Fraudulent, Collusive and Coercive practice if any	Rule127(2)	No
11.2	Contract dispute unresolved if any	Rule 42(4)	No
11.3	Capacity of PE Office / HRD facilities:		1PD(ACE), 1APD(SE), 1DPD(EE), 1SDE, 2AE, 2SAE.
11.4	No of staff trained in procurement		4Nos Trained in PPR

A(2). Individual Contract Review Format

Name of Project / Contract: Construction of Shitalakhya Bridge Project(3rd Shitalakhya Bridge at Bandar Upazila, Narayangonj) / Construction of 3rd Sitalakhya Bridge and Approach Road

Part-1:General

Date of Review	08/05/18 & 09/05/18
Name of Reviewer	Md. Afil Uddin
Name of Procuring Entity	Project Directot (ACE) RHD, Construction of Shitalakhya Bridge Project(3 rd Shitalakhya Bridge Construction Project at Bandar Upazila, Narayangonj).
Contract Name/ReferenceNo	Construction of Shitalakhya Bridge Project (Construction of 3 rd Sitalakhya Bridge and Approach Road)/PW-1//PD/B-SBCP/220 dated 20/08/2014
Description of Contracts / Objects of Procurement	Construction of 3 rd Shitalakhya Bridge Project: Construction of Main Bridge, Viaduct, Approach road including River Bank protection, Toll plaza and associated ancillary Works.
Contract Amount	BDT 4488391018.22 (4488.39million)
Procurement Type	ICT
Category of Procurement	Works
Method of Procurement	OSTETM(Design Build) with Prequalification
Financing Arrangement of the Procurement	SFD Loan and GOB Development Fund

Part-2: Review of Documents

Sl No	Documents and Aspects	Applicable Provisions (PPR-2008)	Actual
1.0	Procurement Plan		
1.1	Approval Status of APP	Rule-16(4)	Procurement plan for works Prepared and attached to DPP
1.2	Official Cost Estimate	Rule-16(4)	Approved
2.	Procurement Opportunities		

2.1	Advertisement for Prequalification/Tender published in Daily newspapers (NCT/ICT)	Rule 90(2)(ka)	PQ Notice Published The Daily Star 01July 2015 Jugantor 29 June 2015 The Independent 29June 2015 Prothom Alo 01July 2015
2.2	Advertisement for Prequalification/Tender published in PE's website	Rule90(2)(za)	PQ Notice Published 25 June 2015
2.3	Advertisement for Prequalification/Tender published in CPTU website	Rule90(2)(zha)	PQ Notice Published 01July 2015
2.4	Advertisement published in dg market	Rule90(3) Not Mandatory	No
2.5	Advertisement for Tender published / Issued to Prequalified Tenderer		Issued : 16 Nov 2015
2.5	Followed e-GP guide lines Or PPR-2008		PPR-2008
2.6	Tenders followed DP's Guidelines	Rule-3(1) SFD Guidelines	Yes, SFD Guidelines
3.	Tenders / Proposal Submission and Tender Opening		
3.1	Days allowed for preparation and Submission of Application	Rule-91(4),Schedule III Min 21 days	1July15-17August15 46 days 03Sep15(ext) 63Days
3.2	Days allowed for preparation and Submission of Tender	Rule 83(1)(Ka) 42days	16 Nov15- 26Jan16:72 Days Extended up to13March16 :118 Days
3.3	Days allowed for Pre-tender / Pre proposal/Prequalification meeting Minutes Issued	Rule18(1)(ga), Sche. III Max7days	6 Days
3.4	Deadline for submission of Application/Tender	Rule 83(1)(Ka)	PQ Application:17August15(o) 03 Sep 2015(extended) Tender:26Jan 16(o) 13March 2016(extended)
3.5	Tender/Application opening date , time and place	Rule 83(1)(Ka)	PQ Applicaton: 17August15(o) 03 Sep 2015(ext) Tech Tender:26 Jan16(o) 13March 2016(extended)
3.6	No of Prequalification Documents/Tender Documents / RFPs sold /issued	Not mentioned	PQ document-9 Tender document-7
3.7	No of Applicants/Tenderer / Consultants participated	Not mentioned	Applicants-9 Tender -7
3.8	Tender Validity Period	Rule19(1), Schedule III 60-120 days120 days	120 days(original) 390 days (extended)
3.9	Tender Security Amount	Rule22(3) & (5) Not exceeding 3%	BDT55.00 million or US Dollar 0.70 million
4	Formation of TOC /POC and TEC/PEC :		
4.1	No of members in TOC/POC	Rule7,Schedule III 3 Members	3
4.2	No of member in TOC/POC from TEC/PEC	Rule7, Schedule III Min.1	2

4.3	Number of members in TEC/PEC	Rule8(1)Min.5, Max.7 members	7
4.5	Number of external members in TEC /PEC	Rule8(8) Min.1	2
4.6	Authority approved TOC/POC with date	Rule7 HOPE	HOPE,CE RHD 25 August 15
4.7	Authority approved TEC/PEC with date	Rule8(3) Secretary	Secretary RTHD 24Jan 2016
4.8	Chairperson of TEC/PEC	Rule10(1)(e) ACE/PD	PD BRT (ACE), RHD
4.9	No of members in TSC	Rule8(14) Max.3	NA
5	Tenders /Proposals Evaluation		
5.1	Days allowed between opening and submission of ER of PQ /Tender (Tech)	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 28days	PQ Application:3Sep15-15 Oct15:42 Days Tech ER :13 March16-30 March16:17 Days Fin ER 3 May16 -18Oct16 :160days
5.2	Actual days between opening and submission of evaluation	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 28days	PQ Application; 42 days Tech ER :17 Days FinER:160days
5.3	No of Responsive PQ Application/Tenders/ Proposals	Rule-98(14) No limit	PQ Application:7 Tender:3
5.4	No of non Responsive PQ Application/Tender / Proposal with reasons	NA	PQ Application:2 (due to lack of experience) Tender:1(Incomplete proposal)
5.5	Re-invitation of Tenders/ Proposals recommended by TEC/PEC	Rule11(2)	Yes
5.6	Procurement proceedings annulled/cancelled	Rule 11(2)Ga	Yes
6	Approval of Tenders/Proposals		
6.1	Days between submission of evaluation report and approval	Rule36(6), Schedule III Min7weeks	PQ 15Oct15-15 nov15:30days Tech ER:30March16- 27April16:27days Fin ER18 Oct16-1Jan17:72days
6.2	Approving Authority (AA) as per DoFP	PM As per recommendation of CCGP	PM
6.3	Authority approved		Yes
6.4	Evaluation report was sent as per PPR to the AA	Rule 36(3)(Ka)(3)	Yes Procurement Proposal placed to Minister
6.5	Date of approval decision received by PE	Rule36(4)	6 Jan17
6.6	Date of issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6),Schedule III Within 7 days of Approval	12 Jan17
6.7	Authority other than AA if any made additional review of the evaluation report		No

6.8	Authority higher or lower than AA, if any, approved the Contracts		No
7.0	Contract Award		
7.1	Procurement Processing lag/lead-time i.e. days actual spend between opening and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6), Schedule III No limit for Contract award decision by the PM	13March16-12 jan17:300 days
7.2	Days actual spend between IFT/RFP and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI/contract signing	Rule36(6), Sch. III No limit for Contract Processing decision made by the PM	16Nov 2015-12Jan 2017:420Days
7.3	Contract award made within the initial Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule 19(1) 120 days	No
7.4	Extension of Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule19(2) No limit	Yes, Extended up to 9 March 17 Instead of 14 March16:260days
7.5	Publication of award in CPTU website/ PE's website/others	Rule 37(1), Schedule III	yes
8.0	Completion of Contract		
8.1	Days per original contract time specified for Supply/ Execution / Perform	ICD	36 Months
8.2	Days actual spend for Supply/ Execution / Perform		NA
8.3	Amount of LD imposed and enforcement for delays.	Rule42(3)	NA
8.4	Damage imposed due to remaining/incomplete work	Rule42(3)	NA
8.5	Termination of contract and reasons	Rule 42(2)	NA
9.0	Complaints and Appeal		
9.1	Complaint, if any, lodged and reasons thereof	Rule-57	NA
9.2	Resolution of complaints per Rules	Rule-57	NA
9.3	Modifications resulting from resolution of complaints	Rule-57	NA
9.4	Appeal of Independent Review Panel	Rule58,59,60	NA
9.5	Review Panel's decision and follow-on	Rule-60	NA
10.	Contract Amendment		
10.1	No of times contract time extended and days	Rule39(3) PE 20%, HOPE: No limit	NA
10.2	Contract amendment, variation/ Extra work order /Repeat orders/Additional Delivery etc	Rule39(18)	NA
10.3	No and amount of such orders		NA
11.0	Others		

11.1	Corrupt, Fraudulent, Collusive and Coercive practice if any	Rule127(2)	NA
11.2	Contract dispute unresolved	Rule 42(4)	NA
11.3	Capacity of PE Office / HRD facilities:		IPD(ACE), 1APD(SE), IDPD(EE), 1SDE, 2AE, 2SAE.
11.4	No of staff trained in procurement		4Nos Trained in PPR

A(3). Individual Contract Review Format

Name of Project / Cotract: Construction of 4lane flyover at Bhulta, Narayangonj on Dhaka –Sylhet National Highway.

Part-1: General

Date of Review	10/05/18 &11/05/18
Name of Reviewer	Md. Afil Uddin
Name of Procuring Entity	Project Directot,Construction of 4lane flyover at Bhulta, Narayangonj on Dhaka –Sylhet National Highway.
Contract Name/Reference No	Construction of 4 lane Flyover at Bhulta on Dhaka- Sylhet National Highway: /WD-01Ref BFO/PD/Tender/2014/190
Description of Contracts / Objects of Procurement	Construction of a 4 lane flyover (Around 1.25 km long) which include Foundation (piling), Substructure(piers) and superstructure (concrete box girder), reconstruction of Road, Footpath and Drains
Contract Amount	BDT 2400566208.42 (2400.57million)
Procurement Type	NCT
Category of Procurement	Works
Method of Procurement	Open Tender(OSTETM)
Financing Arrangement of the Procurement	GOB Development Fund

Part-2: Review of Documents

Sl No	Documents and Aspects	Applicable Provisions (PPR-2008)	Actual
1.0	Procurement Plan		

1.1	Approval Status of APP	Rule-16(4)	Procurement plan Approved and attached to DPP
1.2	Official Cost Estimate	Rule-16(4)	Approved
2.	Procurement Opportunities		
2.1	Advertisement for Tender published in Daily Newspapers(NCT)	Rule90(2)(Ka)	Samakal 31Dec 2014 The Daily Star 01Jan 2015
2.2	Advertisement published in PE's website	Rule90(2,Ja)	19Jan 2015
2.3	Advertisement published in CPTU website	Rule90(2,Jha)	08 Jan 2015
2.4	Advertisement published in dg market	NA	N/A
2.5	Followed e-GP guide lines Or PPR-2008	PPR-2008	PPR-2008
2.6	Corrigendum Issued	Rule90(2)(Cha)	Daily Star: 24Feb 15, Prothom Alo: 2Feb15 RHD web: 01March15, CPTU web:25 Feb 15
2.7	Tenders followed DP's Guidelines	NA	NA
3.	Tenders / Proposal Submission and Tender Opening		
3.1	Days allowed for preparation and Submission of Tender	Rule-61(4) Min.28 days	01Jan15-16 March 15 75Days
3.2	Days allowed for Pre-tender / Pre proposal Minutes Issued	Rule18(1)(c) Max7days	Minute issued 4 th day
3.3	Deadline for submission of tenders	Rule61(4)	16March2015 :2.00PM
3.4	Tender opening date , time and place	Rule61(4)	16/March2015:2.30PM
3.5	No of Tender Documents sold	All Eligible	21 Nos
3.6	No of Tenderer participated	All Eligible	6 Nos
3.7	Tender Validity Period	Rule19(1)) 60-120 days	Original17March15-16 July 120days Extended up to11 Nov 15 : 235day
3.8	Tender Security Amount	Rule22(3) & (5) Not exceeding 3%	BDT50,000,000.00(BDT 50 million)
4	Formation of TOC /POC and TEC/PEC :		
4.1	No of members in TOC/POC	Rule7 3 members	3
4.2	No of member in TOC/POC from TEC/PEC	Rule7 1 member	1
4.3	Number of members in TEC/PEC	Rule8(1)(2) 5-7 members	7
4.5	Number of external members in TEC /PEC	Rule8(2) Min 1	2
4.6	Authority approved TOC/POC with date	Rule7 HOPE	HOPE, CE RHD 2 Jan 15
4.7	Authority approved TEC/PEC with date	Rule8(3)	Secretary RTHD 5 March 15

		Secretary	
4.8	Chairperson of TEC/PEC	Rule10(1)(e) ACE/PD	PD (ACE, RHD) SASEC Road Connectivity Project
4.9	No of members in TSC if any	Rule8(15) Max.3	Nil
5	Tenders /Proposals Evaluation		
5.1	Days allowed per Rule between opening and completion/submission of evaluation reports	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 28days	Tech ER 17March15-16April15 :28days Re ER sub:29April 15:6weeks Fin ER 7May-28July 15:81 days
5.2	Days allowed between opening and submission of ER of PQ /Tender (Tech)	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 28days	Tech ER:6weeks FinER:81 days
5.3	No of Responsive Tenders/ Proposals		3
5.4	No of non Responsive Tender / Proposal with reasons		3 (2 Nos: due to Shortage of experience and 1 No: due to poor performance)
5.5	Re-invitation of Tenders/ Proposals recommended by TEC/PEC	Rule11(2)	No
5.6	Procurement proceedings annulled/cancelled	Rule 11(2)Ga	No
6	Approval of Tenders/Proposals		
6.1	Days actual between submission of evaluation report and approval	Rule36(6), Schedule III Min7Weeks	Tech Tender-1days Comb.ER 28July15-23 Sep15:53days
6.2	Approving Authority (AA) as per DoFP	PM As per recommendation of CCGP	PM
6.3	Authority approved		Yes
6.4	Evaluation report was sent as per PPR to the AA	Rule 36(3)(Ka)(3)	Yes
6.5	Date of approval decision received by PE	Rule36(4)	24 Sep 15
6.6	Date of issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6),Schedule III Within 7 days of Approval	29Sep15
6.7	Authority other than AA if any made additional review of the evaluation report		NA
6.8	Authority higher or lower than AA, if any, approved the Contracts		NA
7.0	Contract Award		
7.1	Procurement Processing lag/lead-time i.e. days actual spend between opening and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6), Sch. III No limit for Contract award decision by the PM	16 March15- 29 Sep15: 193 days
7.2	Days actual spend between IFT/RFP and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI/contract signing	Rule36(6), Sch. III No limit for PM	1Jan 15- 29 Sep 15: 269 Days

7.3	Contract award made within the initial Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule 19(1) 60-120 days	no
7.4	Extension of Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule19(2) No limit	Yes Extended up to 11 Nov 15 : 235day
7.5	Publication of award in CPTU website/ PE's website/others	Rule 37(1), Sch. III	yes
8.0 Completion of Contract			
8.1	Days per original contract time specified for Supply/ Execution / Perform		24 months
8.2	Days actual spend for Supply/ Execution / Perform		NA
8.3	Amount of LD imposed and enforcement for delays.	Rule42(3)	NA
8.4	Damage imposed due to remaining/incomplete work	Rule42(3)	NA
8.5	Termination of contract and reasons	Rule 42(2)	NA
9.0 Complaints and Appeal			
9.1	Complaint, if any, lodged and reasons thereof	Rule-57	Yes 1.PPEC: Currency exchange rate of Turnover 2 PBL :Poor performance
9.2	Resolution of complaints per Rules	Rule-57	Yes
9.3	Modifications resulting from resolution of complaints	Rule-57	1. Nonresponsive 2. Nonresponsive
9.4	Appeal of Independent Review Panel	Rule58	NA
9.5	Review Panel's decision and follow-on	Rule-60	NA
10.Contract Amendment			
10.1	No of times contract time extended and days	Rule39(3) PE -1 HOPE No limit	NA
10.2	Contract amendment, variation/ Extra work order / repeat orders/Additional Delivery etc	Rule39(18)	Variation proposed
10.3	No and amount of such orders		NA
11.0 Others			
11.1	Corrupt, Fraudulent, Collusive and Coercive practice if any	Rule127(2)	NA
11.2	Contract dispute unresolved if any	Rule 42(4)	NA
11.3	Capacity of PE Office / HRD facilities		1 PD, 1PM 1SDE 2 AE
11.4	No of staff trained in procurement		3 person trained in PPR

A(4) Individual Contract Review Format

Name of Project / Contract: Construction of SASEC Road Connectivity Project: Improvement of Joydebpur-Chandra- Tangail-Elenga Road (N4) to a 4 lane Highway.

Part-1: General

Date of Review	14/05/18 & 15/05/18
Name of Reviewer	Md. Afil Uddin
Name of Procuring Entity	Project Director, Construction of SASEC Road Connectivity Project. : Improvement of Joydebpur-Chandra- Tangail-Elenga Road (N4) to a 4 lane Highway.
Contract Name/Reference No	Package: W-01 Lot WP-01 Part-1: Improvement of Road from Bogra Bazar Intersection to Kaliakur Bypass Intersection (18.9km) from 2-lane to 4-lane including Structures. Part-2: Performance based Maintenance works on improved 4-lane Road from Bogra Bazar Intersection to Kaliakur
Description of Contracts / Objects of Procurement	Construction of SASEC Road Connectivity Project: Improvement of Joydebpur-Chandra- Tangail-Elenga Road (N4) to a 4 lane Highway to improve the road communication between the Capital Dhaka and Districts of north Bengal. #
Contract Amount	BDT 7153706480.00 (7153.71 million)
Procurement Type	ICT
Category of Procurement	Works
Method of Procurement	OSTETM

Financing Arrangement of the Procurement	ADB,OFID, ADFD Loan and GOB Development Fund
--	--

Part-2: Review of Documents

Sl No	Documents and Aspects	Applicable Provisions (PPR-2008)	Actual
1.0	Procurement Plan		
1.1	Approval Status of APP	Rule-16(4)	Approved and attached to DPP
1.2	Official Cost Estimate	Rule-16(4)	Approved
2.	Procurement Opportunities		
2.1	Advertisement published in Daily Newspapers(ICT)	Rule90(2) (Ka)	Yes, Janakantha 27 July 14 Jugantar 28 July 2014 New Age 26 July2014
2.2	Advertisement published in PE's website	Rule90(2)(Ja)	Yes,27 July 2014
2.3	Advertisement published in CPTU website	Rule90(2)(Jha)	Yes, 3 August 2014
2.4	Advertisement published in dg market	Rule90(3)	Yes, 27 July 2014
2.5	Followed e-GP guide lines Or PPR-2008		PPR-2008
2.6	Corrigendum Issued	Rule90(2)(Ka,)	Janakantha 25 Sep 2014 Jugantar 26 Sep 2014 NewAge 25Sep2014 Web site of RHD,CPTU and dg market
2.7	Tenders followed DP's Guidelines	Rule-3(1)	ADB Guidelines
3.	Tenders / Proposal Submission and Tender Opening		
3.1	Days allowed for preparation and Submission of Tender	Rule-83(1)(ka) Min.42 days	27July14-29Sep14 62days 9 Oct 14(ext) 72Days
3.2	Days allowed for Pre-tender / Pre proposal Minutes Issued	Rule18(1)(ga) Max7days	15days
3.3	Deadline for submission of tenders	Rule-83(1)(ka)	29 Sep14 2.30 PM(original) 09 Oct 2014, 2.30PM (extended)
3.4	Tender opening date , time and place	Rule-83(1)(ka)	29Sep14: 3PM(original) 09 Oct 2014 3.00PM(extended)
3.5	No of Tender Documents / RFPs sold /issued	Not mentioned	28Nos
3.6	No of Tenderer / Consultants participated	Not mentioned	7 Nos

3.7	Tender Validity Period	Rule19(1) ,117(10) 60-120 days	180 Days upto 7April Extended upto 02 Jan16 :235days
3.8	Tender Security Amount	Rule22(3) & (5) Not exceeding 3%	BDT50,000,000.00
4	Formation of TOC /POC and TEC/PEC :		
4.1	No of members in TOC/POC	Rule7 3 members	3
4.2	No of member in TOC/POC from TEC/PEC	Rule7 1 member	1
4.3	Number of members in TEC/PEC	Rule8(1)(2) 5-7 members	7
4.5	Number of external members in TEC /PEC	Rule8(2) Min 1	2
4.6	Authority approved TOC/POC with date	Rule7 HOPE	HOPE,CERHD 29 Sep 2014
4.7	Authority approved TEC/PEC with date	Rule8(3) Secretary	Secretary MRTB 20 August14
4.8	Chairperson of TEC/PEC	Rule10(1)(e) ACE/PD	PD(ACE,RHD) BRT
4.9	No of members in TSC if any	Rule8(15) Max.3	Nil
5	Tenders /Proposals Evaluation		
5.1	Days allowed per Rule between opening and completion/submission of Evaluation Reports (Tech and Fin.)	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 28days	Tech. ER :9Oct14-23 Nov14: 6 Weeks Re-eva.: 01Jan15:81days Fin.ER:07May15-28May 3weeks
5.2	Actual days between opening and submission of evaluation	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 28days	Tech Evaluation 81 Days Fin. Evaluation: 21Days
5.3	No of Responsive Tenders/ Proposals		3
5.4	No of non Responsive Tender / Proposal with reasons	6weeks	4 (due to Shortage of Experience)
5.5	Re-invitation of Tenders/ Proposals recommended by TEC/PEC	Rule 11(2))(ka)	No
5.6	Procurement proceedings annulled/cancelled	Rule11(2)(ga)	No
6	Approval of Tenders/Proposals		
6.1	Days actual between submission of evaluation report and approval	Rule36(6), Schedule III Min 6 weeks	Tech ER 23 Nov14 and 01Jan15-29 April15: 56days FER: 27 May15-19Aug15: 82days
6.2	Approving Authority (AA) as per DoFP	PM As per Recommendation of CCGP	PM
6.3	Authority approved		Yes
6.4	Evaluation report was sent as per PPR to the AA	Rule 36(3)(Ka)(3)	yes
6.5	Date of approval decision received by PE	Rule36(4)	19 August15

6.6	Date of issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6),Sch-III Within 7 days of Approval	20August 15
6.7	Authority other than AA if any made additional review of the evaluation report		No
6.8	Authority higher or lower than AA, if any, approved the Contracts		NA
7.0	Contract Award		
7.1	Procurement Processing lag/lead-time i.e. days actual spend between opening and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6), Sch. III No limit for Contract award decision by the PM	9 Oct14- 20 August15 : 311 days
7.2	Days actual spend between IFT/RFP and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6), Sch. III No limit for PM	27July14- 20August15: 388 Days
7.3	Contract award made within the initial Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule 19(1) 60-120 days	no
7.4	Extension of Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule19(2) No limit	yes
7.5	Publication of award in CPTU website/ PE's website/others	Rule 37(1), Sch. III	Yes
8.0	Completion of Contract		
8.1	Days per original contract time specified for Supply/ Execution / Perform	NA	15 Sep 2015-29 Dec 2018 39Months
8.2	Days actual spend for Supply/ Execution / Perform	na	NA
8.3	Amount of LD imposed and enforcement for delays.	Rule42(3)	Na
8.4	Damage imposed due to remaining/incomplete work	Rule42(3)	NA
8.5	Termination of contract and reasons	Rule 42(2)	NA
9.0	Complaints and Appeal		
9.1	Complaint, if any, lodged and reasons thereof	Rule-57	NA
9.2	Resolution of complaints per Rules	Rule-57	Na
9.3	Modifications resulting from resolution of complaints	Rule-57	NA
9.4	Appeal of Independent Review Panel	Rule58	yes
9.5	Review Panel's decision and follow-on	Rule-60	yes
10.	Contract Amendment		
10.1	No of times contract time extended and days		NA
10.2	Contract amendment, variation/ Extra work order / repeatorders/Additional Delivery etc		NA
10.3	No and amount of such orders		NA

11.0	Others		
11.1	Corrupt, Fraudulent, Collusive and Coercive practice if any	Rule127(2)	NA
11.2	Contract dispute unresolved f any	Rule 42(4)	NA
11.3	Capacity of PE Office / HRD facilities:		1 PD(ACE), 1APD(SE), 4PM(EE) 4DPM(SDE) 8 AE
11.4	No of staff trained in procurement		10 Person trained in PPR

A.(5) Individual Contract Review Format

Name of Contract: Construction of SASEC Road Connectivity Project: Improvement of Joydebpur-Chandra- Tangail-Elenga Road(N4) to a 4 lane Highway.

Part-1: General

Date of Review	14/05/18 & 15/05/18
Name of Reviewer	Md. Afil Uddin
Name of Procuring Entity	Project Director, Construction of SASEC Road Connectivity Project.
Contract Name/References No	SASEC Road Connectivity Project: Improvement of Joydebpur-Chandra- Tangail-Elenga Road(N4) to a 4 lane Highway(from Tangail to Elenga Intersection)
Description of Contracts / Objects of Procurement	SASEC Road Connectivity Project: Improvement of Joydebpur-Chandra- Tangail-Elenga Road(N4) to a 4 lane Highway(from Tangail to Elenga Intersection)
Contract Amount	BDT7153706480.00 (7153.71million)
Procurement Type	NCT
Category of Procurement	Works
Method of Procurement	Open Tender(OSTETM)
Financing Arrangement of the Procurement	ADB, OFID, ADFD Loan and GOB Development Fund

Part-2 : Review of Documents

Sl No	Documents and Aspects	Applicable Provisions (PPR-2008)	Actual
1.0	Procurement Plan		
1.1	Approval Status of APP	Rule-16(4)	Procurement plan Approved and attached to DPP
1.2	Official Cost Estimate	Rule-16(4)	Approved
2.	Procurement Opportunities		
2.1	Advertisement published in Daily Newspapers(NCT/ICT)	Rule90(2,Ka)	Janakantha 27 July 14 The Daily New Age26July 14 Jugantar 28 July14
2.2	Advertisement published in PE's website	Rule90(2,Ja)	27 July 14
2.3	Advertisement published in CPTU website	Rule90(2,Jha)	03 August 14
2.4	Advertisement published in dg market	Rule90(3)	27 July 14
2.5	Followed e-GP guide lines Or PPR-2008	PPR-2008	PPR2008
2.6	Corrigendum Issued	Rule90(2)	New age,Janakantha 25Sep Jugantar 26 Sep 14,RHD website 29 sept 14 ,CPTU website28 sep14
2.7	Tenders followed DP's Guidelines	Rule-3(1)	ADB Guidelines
3.	Tenders / Proposal Submission and Tender Opening		
3.1	Days allowed for preparation and Submission of Tender	Rule-83(1)(ka) Min.42 days	27July14-29Sep14 62days 9 Oct 14(ext) 72Days
3.2	Days allowed for Pre-tender / Pre proposal Minutes Issued	Rule18(1)(ga) Max7days	15days
3.3	Deadline for submission of tenders	Rule-83(1)(ka)	29Sep14: 3PM 09 Oct 2014 3.00PM(extended)
3.4	Tender opening date , time and place	Rule-83(1)(ka)	29Sep14: 3PM 09 Oct 2014 3.00PM(extended)
3.5	No of Tender Documents / RFPs sold /issued	Not mentioned	26 Nos
3.6	No of Tenderer / Consultants participated	Not mentioned	9 Nos
3.7	Tender Validity Period	Rule19(1)) 60-120 days	180 Days upto 7April Extended upto 02 Jan16 :235days
3.8	Tender Security Amount	Rule22(3) & (5) Not exceeding 3%	BDT750,000,00.00(75million)

4	Formation of TOC /POC and TEC/PEC :		
4.1	No of members in TOC/POC	Rule7 3 members	3
4.2	No of member in TOC/POC from TEC/PEC	Rule7 1 member	1
4.3	Number of members in TEC/PEC	Rule8(1)(2) 5-7 members	7
4.5	Number of external members in TEC /PEC	Rule8(2) Min 1	2
4.6	Authority approved TOC/POC with date	Rule7 HOPE	HOPE 29 Sep 14
4.7	Authority approved TEC/PEC with date	Rule8(3) Secretary	Secretary, RTHD 20 August14
4.8	Chairperson of TEC/PEC	Rule10(1)(e) ACE/PD	PD(ACE,RHD)BRT
4.9	No of members in TSC if any	Rule8(15) Max.3	Nil
5	Tenders /Proposals Evaluation		
5.1	Days allowed per Rule between opening and completion/submission of evaluation reports	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 28days	Tech ER :9Oct14-23 Nov15 6wks Re-evaluation: .01Jan15:81days Fin.ER:07May15-28May 3weeks
5.2	Actual days between opening and submission of evaluation	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 28days	Tech Evaluation 81 Days Fin. Evaluation: 21Days
5.3	No of Responsive Tenders/ Proposals	NA	5
5.4	No of non Responsive Tender / Proposal with reasons	NA	4 (due to Shortage of Experience and authenticity)
5.5	Re-invitation of Tenders/ Proposals recommended by TEC/PEC	Rule11(2)	No
5.6	Procurement proceedings annulled/cancelled	Rule 11(2)Ga	No
6	Approval of Tenders/Proposals		
6.1	Days actual between submission of evaluation report and approval	Rule36(6), Schedule III	Tech ER 23 Nov14 and1Jan15-7July15: 186days FER: 7Aug15-19 Nov15: 102days
6.2	Approving Authority (AA) as per DoFP	PM As per Recommendation of CCGP	PM
6.3	Authority approved		Yes
6.4	Evaluation report was sent as per PPR to the AA	Rule 36(3)(Ka)(3)	yes
6.5	Date of approval decision received by PE	Rule36(4)	19Nov15

6.6	Date of issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6),Sch.e III Within 7 days of Approval	24Nov15
6.7	Authority other than AA if any made additional review of the evaluation report	NA	NA
6.8	Authority higher or lower than AA, if any, approved the Contracts	NA	NA
7.0	Contract Award		
7.1	Procurement Processing lag/lead-time i.e. days actual spend between opening and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6), Sch. III No limit for Contract award decision by the PM	9Oct14-24 Nov15:372 days
7.2	Days actual spend between IFT/RFP and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6), Sch. III No limit for PM	27 July -24 Nov15; 477days
7.3	Contract award made within the initial Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule 19(1) 60-120 days	no
7.4	Extension of Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule19(2) No limit	yes
7.5	Publication of award in CPTU website/ PE's website/others	Rule 37(1), Sch. III	Yes
8.0	Completion of Contract		
8.1	Days per original contracttime specified for Supply/ Execution / Perform	NA	17Dec15- 28 Feb 19: 38months
8.2	Days actual spend for Supply/ Execution / Perform	NA	NA
8.3	Amount of LD imposed and enforcement for delays.	Rule42(3)	NA
8.4	Damage imposed due to remaining/incomplete work	Rule42(3)	NA
8.5	Termination of contract and reasons	Rule 42(2)	NA
9.0	Complaints and Appeal		
9.1	Complaint, if any, lodged and reasons thereof	Rule-57	No
9.2	Resolution of complaints per Rules	Rule-57	No
9.3	Modifications resulting from resolution of complaints	Rule-57	No
9.4	Appeal of Independent Review Panel	Rule58	yes
9.5	Review Panel's decision and follow-on	Rule-60	Yes, make bidder responsive
10.0	Contract Amendment		
10.1	No of times contract time extended and days	Rule39(3) HOPE No limit	NA

10.2	Contract amendment, variation/ Extra work order / repeat orders/Additional Delivery etc	Rule39(18)	NA
10.3	No and amount of such orders		NA
11.0	Others		
11.1	Corrupt, Fraudulent, Collusive and Coercive practice if any	Rule127(2)	NA
11.2	Contract dispute unresolved	Rule 42(4)	NA
11.3	Capacity of EE Office / HRD facilities:		1 PD(ACE), 1APD(SE), 4PM(EE) 4DPM(SDE) 8 AE
11.4	No of staff trained in procurement		10 Person trained in PPR

A.(6) Individual Contract Review Format

Name of Contract: Construction of rigid pavement at 7th(p) km, 8th km, 9th(p) km of Birulia-Ashulia Road and Construction of rigid pavement including HBB at Hard Shoulder at 2nd (p) km, & 3rd (p) km of Shinnirtek-Gabtoli Bridge connecting (U-loop) Road under Dhaka Road Division during the year 2015-2016

Part-1: General

Date of Review	16/05/18 & 17/05/18
Name of Reviewer	Md. Afil Uddin
Name of Procuring Entity	Additional Chief Engineer (ACE) , RHD, Dhaka Zone.
ContractName/References No	Construction of rigid pavement at 7 th (p) km, 8 th km, 9 th (p) km of Birulia-Ashulia Road and Construction of rigid pavement including HBB at Hard Shoulder at 2 nd (p) km, & 3 rd (p) km of Shinnirtek-Gabtoli Bridge connecting (U-loop) Road under Dhaka Road Division during the year 2015-2016 DZ(e-GP)-02/PMP(Road) 2015-2016
Description of Contracts / Objects of Procurement	Construction of rigid pavement and HBB: Repair and maintenance of Road
Contract Amount	BDT 83125914.00(BDT83.12million)
Procurement Type	NCT
Category of Procurement	Works

Method of Procurement	Open Tender
Financing Arrangement of the Procurement	GOB Revenue Fund

Part-2 : Review of Documents

Sl No	Documents and Aspects	Applicable Provisions (PPR-2008)	Actual
1.0	Procurement Plan		
1.1	Approval Status of APP	e - GP guide line: Cl.3.3.1.	Procurement plan Approved and attached to DPP
1.2	Official Cost Estimate	Rule-16(4)	Approved
2.	Procurement Opportunities		
2.1	Advertisement published in Daily Newspapers(NCT/ICT)	e-GP guide line: Cl. 3.5.1.2	Daily samakal 16 july 15, Financial express 14 july 15
2.2	Advertisement published in PE's website	NA	
2.3	Advertisement published in CPTU website	NA	
2.4	Advertisement published in e-GP system	e-GP guide line: 3.5.1.2	7 july 15
2.5	Followed e-GP guide lines Or PPR-2008	e-GP guide line	e-GP guide line
2.6	Corrigendum Issued		NA
2.7	Tenders followed DP's Guidelines	Rule-3(1)	NA
3.	Tenders / Proposal Submission and Tender Opening		
3.1	Days allowed for preparation and Submission of Tender	Rule-61(41) Min.21 days	28days
3.2	Days allowed for Pre-tender / Pre proposal Minutes Issued	Rule18(1)(c) Max7days	
3.3	Deadline for submission of tenders	Rule-61(41) Min.21 days	5 Aug 15:2.00PM
3.4	Tender opening date , time and place	Rule-61(41) Min.21 days	5 Aug 15:2.10PM
3.5	No of Tender Documents / RFPs sold /issued	Not mentioned	13 Nos
3.6	No of Tenderer / Consultants participated	Not mentioned	9 Nos
3.7	Tender Validity Period	Rule19(1)) 60-120 days	3 Nov 15
3.8	Tender Security Amount	Rule22(3) & (5) Not exceeding 3%	BDT23,000,000.00
4	Formation of TOC /POC and TEC/PEC :		

4.1	No of members in TOC/POC	Rule7 3 members	2
4.2	No of member in TOC/POC from TEC/PEC	Rule7 1 member	1
4.3	Number of members in TEC/PEC	Rule8(1)(2) 5-7 members	3
4.5	Number of external members in TEC /PEC	Rule8(2) Min 1	2
4.6	Authority approved TOC/POC with date	Rule7 HOPE	PE
4.7	Authority approved TEC/PEC with date	Rule8(3) Secretary	PE
4.8	Chairperson of TEC/PEC	Rule10(1)(e) ACE/PD	ACE Dhaka Zone (PE)
4.9	No of members in TSC if any	Rule8(15) Max.3	Nil
5	Tenders /Proposals Evaluation		
5.1	Days allowed per Rule between opening and completion/submission of evaluation reports	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 16days	5 Aug15-17 Aug15 12 Days
5.2	Actual days between opening and submission of evaluation	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 28days	12 Days
5.3	No of Responsive Tenders/ Proposals	Not Mentioned	4
5.4	No of non Responsive Tender / Proposal with reasons	Not Mentioned	5 (due to no conformity of the schedule of requirements)
5.5	Re-invitation of Tenders/ Proposals recommended by TEC/PEC	Rule11(2)	No
5.6	Procurement proceedings annulled/cancelled	Rule 11(2)Ga	No
6	Approval of Tenders/Proposals		
6.1	Days actual between submission of evaluation report and approval	Rule36(6), Schedule III 2weeks	14 days
6.2	Approving Authority (AA) as per DoFP	HOPE	HOPE
6.3	Authority approved		Yes
6.4	Evaluation report was sent as per PPR to the AA	Rule 36(3)(Ka)(3)	yes
6.5	Date of approval decision received by PE	Rule36(4) Within 7 days of Approval	31 Aug 15
6.6	Date of issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6),Sch.e III No limit for Contract award decision by the PM	2 Sep 15
6.7	Authority other than AA if any made additional review of the evaluation report		NA
6.8	Authority higher or lower than AA, if any, approved the		NA

	Contracts		
7.0	Contract Award		
7.1	Procurement Processing lag/lead-time i.e. days actual spend between opening and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6), Sch. III No limit for Contract award decision by the PM	5Aug 15-2 Sep 15 :27 Days
7.2	Days actual spend between IFT/RFP and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6), Sch. III No limit for PM	7 July 15-2 Sep 15:55 Days
7.3	Contract award made within the initial Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule 19(1) 60-120 days	Yes
7.4	Extension of Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule19(2) No limit	No
7.5	Publication of award in CPTU website/ PE's website/others	Rule 37(1), Sch. III	
8.0	Completion of Contract		
8.1	Days per original contract time specified for Supply/ Execution / Perform		6 Months
8.2	Days actual spend for Supply/ Execution / Perform		6 Months
8.3	Amount of LD imposed and enforcement for delays.	Rule42(3)	NA
8.4	Damage imposed due to remaining/incomplete work	Rule42(3)	NA
8.5	Termination of contract and reasons	Rule 42(2)	NA
9.0	Complaints and Appeal		
9.1	Complaint, if any, lodged and reasons thereof	Rule-57	NA
9.2	Resolution of complaints per Rules	Rule-57	NA
9.3	Modifications resulting from resolution of complaints	Rule-57	NA
9.4	Appeal of Independent Review Panel	Rule58	NA
9.5	Review Panel's decision and follow-on	Rule-60	NA
10.	Contract Amendment		
10.1	No of times contract time extended and days	Rule39(3) PE -1 HOPENo limit	NA
10.2	Contract amendment, variation/ Extra work order / repeat orders/Additional Delivery etc	Rule39(18)	NA
10.3	No and amount of such orders		NA
11.0	Others		
11.1	Corrupt, Fraudulent, Collusive and Coercive	Rule127(2)	NA

	practice if any		
11.2	Contract dispute unresolved	Rule 42(4)	NA
11.3	Capacity of PE Office / HRD facilities		1ACE, 1EE,1SDE,1AE,1SAE
11.4	No of staff trained in procurement		3

A.(7) Individual Contract Review Format

Name of ContractImprovement of Kapasia- Pabur- Fuldi-Kaligonj Road by widening, Strengthening, carpeting and seal coatfrom ch.o.oo to14+950,17+950 to 21+700 under Gazipur Road Division during the year 2015-2016

Part-1:General

Date of Review	16/05/18 &17/05/18
Name of Reviewer	Md. Afil Uddin
Name of Procuring Entity	Additional Chief Engineer (ACE) , RHD, Dhaka Zone.
Contract Name/References No	Improvement of Kapasia- Pabur- Fuldi-Kaligonj Road by widening, Strengthening, carpeting and seal coatfrom ch.o.oo to14+950,17+950 to 21+700 under Gazipur Road Division during the year 2015-2016/DZ(e-GP)-12/Dev/2015-2016
Description of Contracts / Objects of Procurement	Repair ,maintenance and widening of road.
Contract Amount	BDT105564920.462 (BDT105.56million)
Procurement Type	NCT
Category of Procurement	Works
Method of Procurement	Open Tender
Financing Arrangement of the Procurement	GOB Development Fund

Part-2 Review of Documents

Sl No	Documents and Aspects	Applicable Provisions (PPR-2008)	Actual
1.0	Procurement Plan		
1.1	Approval Status of APP	e - GP guide line: Cl.3.3.1.	Procurement plan Approved and attached to DPP
1.2	Official Cost Estimate	Rule-16(4)	Approved
2.	Procurement Opportunities		
2.1	Advertisement published in Daily Newspapers(NCT/ICT)	e-GP guide line: Cl. 3.5.1.2	The news today 29 Aug 15, Vorer kagoj 28 Aug 15
2.2	Advertisement published in PE's website	NA	NA
2.3	Advertisement published in CPTU website	NA	NA
2.4	Advertisement published in e-GP System	e-GP guide line: 3.5.1.2	24 Aug 15
2.5	Followed e-GP guide lines Or PPR-2008	e-GP guide line	e-GP guide line
2.6	Corrigendum Issued	e - GP guide line: Cl.3.5.4.2.	NA
2.7	Tenders followed DP's Guidelines	NA	NA
3.	Tenders / Proposal Submission and Tender Opening		
3.1	Days allowed for preparation and Submission of Tender	Rule-61(41) Min.28 days	24 Aug 15 -21 sep 15:28 Days
3.2	Days allowed for Pre-tender / Pre proposal Minutes Issued	Rule18(1)(c) Max7days	Minute issued 4 th day
3.3	Deadline for submission of tenders	Rule-61(41) Min.28 days	22/09/2015 2.00PM
3.4	Tender opening date , time and place	Rule-61(41) Min.28 days	22/09/2015 2.05PM
3.5	No of Tender Documents / RFPs sold /issued	Not mentioned	5 Nos
3.6	No of Tenderer / Consultants participated	Not mentioned	3 Nos
3.7	Tender Validity Period	Rule19(1)) 60-120 days	20 Jan 16
3.8	Tender Security Amount	Rule22(3) & (5) Not exceeding 3%	BDT30,000,00.00
4	Formation of TOC /POC and TEC/PEC :		
4.1	No of members in TOC/POC	Rule7 3 members	2
4.2	No of member in TOC/POC from TEC/PEC	Rule7 1 member	1
4.3	Number of members in TEC/PEC	Rule8(1)(2) 5-7 members	3

4.5	Number of external members in TEC /PEC	Rule8(2) Min 1	2
4.6	Authority approved TOC/POC with date	Rule7 HOPE	PE
4.7	Authority approved TEC/PEC with date	Rule8(3) Secretary	PE
4.8	Chairperson of TEC/PEC	Rule10(1)(e) ACE/PD	ACE,RHD Dhaka Zone (PE)
4.9	No of members in TSC if any	Rule8(15) Max.3	Nil
5	Tenders /Proposals Evaluation		
5.1	Days allowed per Rule between opening and completion/submission of evaluation reports	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 28days	22/09/15-26/10/15 34 Days
5.2	Actual days between opening and submission of evaluation	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 28days	34 Days
5.3	No of Responsive Tenders/ Proposals	NA	3
5.4	No of non Responsive Tender / Proposal with reasons	na	0
5.5	Re-invitation of Tenders/ Proposals recommended by TEC/PEC	Rule11(2)	No
5.6	Procurement proceedings annulled/cancelled	Rule 11(2)Ga	No
6	Approval of Tenders/Proposals		
6.1	Days actual between submission of evaluation report and approval	Rule36(6), Schedule III 2 Weeks	26/10/15-29/10/15: 3Days
6.2	Approving Authority (AA) as per DoFP	HOPE	HOPE
6.3	Authority approved		Yes
6.4	Evaluation report was sent as per PPR to the AA	Rule 36(3)(Ka)(3)	yes
6.5	Date of approval decision received by PE	Rule36(4) Within 7 days of Approval	29/10/15
6.6	Date of issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6),Sch.e III No limit for Contract award decision by the PM	1/11/15
6.7	Authority other than AA if any made additional review of the evaluation report		No
6.8	Authority higher or lower than AA, if any, approved the Contracts		No
7.0	Contract Award		
7.1	Procurement Processing lag/lead-time i.e. days actual spend between opening and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6), Sch. III 28 Days	22/9/ 15-1/11/15: 39Days

7.2	Days actual spend between IFT/RFP and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6), Sch. III No limit for PM	22/8/15-1/11/15 : 69Days
7.3	Contract award made within the initial Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule 19(1) 60-120 days	Yes
7.4	Extension of Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule19(2) No limit	No
7.5	Publication of award in CPTU website/ PE's website/others	Rule 37(1), Sch. III	Yes
8.0 Completion of Contract			
8.1	Days per original contract time specified for Supply/ Execution / Perform	NA	12Months
8.2	Days actual spend for Supply/ Execution / Perform	NA	12Months
8.3	Amount of LD imposed and enforcement for delays.	Rule42(3)	NA
8.4	Damage imposed due to remaining/incomplete work	Rule42(3)	No
8.5	Termination of contract and reasons	Rule 42(2)	No
9.0 Complaints and Appeal			
9.1	Complaint, if any, lodged and reasons thereof	Rule-57	NA
9.2	Resolution of complaints per Rules	Rule-57	NA
9.3	Modifications resulting from resolution of complaints	Rule-57	NA
9.4	Appeal of Independent Review Panel	Rule58	NA
9.5	Review Panel's decision and follow-on	Rule-60	NA
10.Contract Amendment			
10.1	No of times contract time extended and days	Rule39(3) PE -1 HOPENo limit	NA
10.2	Contract amendment, variation/ Extra work order / repeat orders/Additional Delivery etc	Rule39(18)	NO
10.3	No and amount of such orders		NA
11.0 Others			
11.1	Corrupt, Fraudulent, Collusive and Coercive practice if any	Rule127(2)	NA
11.2	Contract dispute unresolved if any	Rule 42(4)	NA
11.3	Capacity of EE Office / HRD facilities:		1ACE, 1EE,1SDE,1AE,1SAE
11.4	No of staff trained in procurement		3

A. (8) Individual Contract Review Format

Name of Contract: Construction of 216.34 m long (5x 42.68m) PC Girder over Bongshi River at 2nd km of Balia- Warshi- Mirjapur Road under Manikgonj Road Division during the year 2015-2016

Part-1: General

Date of Review	16/05/18 & 17/05/18
Name of Reviewer	Md. Afil Uddin
Name of Procuring Entity	Additional Chief Engineer (ACE) , RHD, Dhaka Zone.
Contract Name/References No	Construction of 216.34 m long (5x 42.68m) PC Girder over Bongshi River at 2 nd km of Balia- Warshi- Mirjapur Road under Manikgonj Road Division during the year 2015-2016/DZ(e-GP)-20/Dev/2015-2016
Description of Contracts / Objects of Procurement	Construction of 216.34 m long (5x 42.68m) PC Girder over Bongshi River at 2 nd km of Balia- Warshi- Mirjapur Road to make the connectivity.
Contract Amount	BDT174613028.031 (BDT174.61million)
Procurement Type	NCT
Category of Procurement	Works
Method of Procurement	Open Tender
Financing Arrangement of the Procurement	GOB Development Fund

Part-2: Review of Documents

Sl No	Documents and Aspects	Applicable Provisions (PPR-2008)	Actual
-------	-----------------------	----------------------------------	--------

1.0	Procurement Plan		
1.1	Approval Status of APP	e - GP guide line: Cl.3.3.1.	Procurement plan Approved and attached to DPP
1.2	Official Cost Estimate	Rule-16(4)	Approved
2.	Procurement Opportunities		
2.1	Advertisement published in Daily Newspapers(NCT/ICT)	e-GP guide line: Cl. 3.5.1.2	No records found
2.2	Advertisement published in PE's website		NA
2.3	Advertisement published in CPTU website	NA	NA
2.4	Advertisement published in e-GP System	NA	21 Oct 15
2.5	Followed e-GP guide lines Or PPR-2008	e-GP guide line: 3.5.1.2	Yes
2.6	Corrigendum Issued	e-GP guide line	NA
2.7	Tenders followed DP's Guidelines		Yes
3.	Tenders / Proposal Submission and Tender Opening		
3.1	Days allowed for preparation and Submission of Tender	Rule-61(41) Min.28 days	21 Oct15 -19 nov 15 : 28 Days
3.2	Days allowed for Pre-tender / Pre proposal Minutes Issued	Rule18(1)(c) Max7days	
3.3	Deadline for submission of tenders	Rule-61(41) Min.28 days	19 Nov 15 2.00PM
3.4	Tender opening date , time and place	Rule-61(41) Min.28 days	19 Nov15 2.05PM PE office
3.5	No of Tender Documents / RFPs sold /issued	Not mentioned	9 Nos
3.6	No of Tenderer / Consultants participated	Not mentioned	6 Nos
3.7	Tender Validity Period	Rule19(1)) 60-120 days	17 Feb 15
3.8	Tender Security Amount	Rule22(3) & (5) Not exceeding 3%	BDT50,000,00.00
4	Formation of TOC /POC and TEC/PEC :		
4.1	No of members in TOC/POC	Rule7 3 members	2
4.2	No of member in TOC/POC from TEC/PEC	Rule7 1 member	1
4.3	Number of members in TEC/PEC	Rule8(1)(2) 5-7 members	3
4.5	Number of external members in TEC /PEC	Rule8(2) Min 1	2
4.6	Authority approved TOC/POC with date	Rule7 PE	PE
4.7	Authority approved TEC/PEC with date	Rule8(3) PE	PE

4.8	Chairperson of TEC/PEC	Rule10(1)(e) ACE/PD	ACE,RHD Dhaka Zone (PE)
4.9	No of members in TSC if any	Rule8(15) Max.3	Nil
5	Tenders /Proposals Evaluation		
5.1	Days allowed per Rule between opening and completion/submission of evaluation reports	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 28days	19 Nov15-24 Dec15 :35 Days
5.2	Actual days between opening and submission of evaluation	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 28days	35 Days
5.3	No of Responsive Tenders/ Proposals		4
5.4	No of non Responsive Tender / Proposal with reasons		2 (1 for due to Shortage of experience and 1 for due to non conformity of yhe schedule of requirements)
5.5	Re-invitation of Tenders/ Proposals recommended by TEC/PEC	Rule11(2)	No
5.6	Procurement proceedings annulled/cancelled	Rule 11(2)Ga	No
6	Approval of Tenders/Proposals		
6.1	Days actual between submission of evaluation report and approval	Rule36(6), Schedule III 2weeks	24 Dec 15- 3 Jan16:9 Days
6.2	Approving Authority (AA) as per DoFP	HOPE	HOPE
6.3	Authority approved		Yes
6.4	Evaluation report was sent as per PPR to the AA	Rule 36(3)(Ka)(3)	yes
6.5	Days spend for issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(4) Within 7 days of Approval	4Days
6.6	Days spend for Contract award decision by AA,	Rule36(6),Sch.e III No limit for Contract award decision by the PM	9Days
6.7	Authority other than AA if any made additional review of the evaluation report		NA
6.8	Authority higher or lower than AA, if any, approved the Contracts		NA
7.0	Contract Award		
7.1	Procurement Processing lag/lead-time i.e. days actual spend between opening and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6), Sch. III 5Weeks	19 Nov 16-7Jan 16 :1Months 18 Days
7.2	Days actual spend between IFT/RFP and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6), Sch. III 9 Weeks	21Oct15-7Jan 16 : 2 month 16 Days
7.3	Contract award made within the initial Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule 19(1) 60-120 days	Yes

7.4	Extension of Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule19(2) No limit	No
7.5	Publication of award in CPTU website/ PE's website/others	Rule 37(1), Sch. III	Yes
8.0 Completion of Contract			
8.1	Days per original contract time specified for Supply/ Execution / Perform		18 Months
8.2	Days actual spend for Supply/ Execution / Perform		18 Months
8.3	Amount of LD imposed and enforcement for delays.	Rule42(3)	NA
8.4	Damage imposed due to remaining/incomplete work	Rule42(3)	NA
8.5	Termination of contract and reasons	Rule 42(2)	NA
9.0 Complaints and Appeal			
9.1	Complaint, if any, lodged and reasons thereof	Rule-57	NO
9.2	Resolution of complaints per Rules	Rule-57	NO
9.3	Modifications resulting from resolution of complaints	Rule-57	NO
9.4	Appeal of Independent Review Panel	Rule58	NO
9.5	Review Panel's decision and follow-on	Rule-60	NO
10.Contract Amendment			
10.1	No of times contract time extended and days	Rule39(3) PE -1 HOPENo limit	NA
10.2	Contract amendment, variation/ Extra work order / repeat orders/Additional Delivery etc	Rule39(18)	NA
10.3	No and amount of such orders		NA
11.0 Others			
11.1	Corrupt, Fraudulent, Collusive and Coercive practice if any	Rule127(2)	NO
11.2	Contract dispute unresolved if any	Rule 42(4)	NO
11.3	Capacity of PE Office / HRD facilities:		1ACE, 1EE,1SDE,1AE,1SAE
11.4	No of staff trained in procurement		3

A (9) Individual Contract Review Format

Name of Contract: Construction of 510.402 m long (45.732x11) PC Girder Charsindur Bridge at 32nd km of Gazipur – Ajmatpur – Itakhola Regional Highway at Charsindur over The River Sitalakhya under Narsinhdhi Road Division during the year 2015- 2016

Part-1:General

Date of Review	16/05/18 &17/05/18
Name of Reviewer	Md. Afil Uddin
Name of Procuring Entity	Additional Chief Engineer (ACE) , RHD, Dhaka Zone.
Contract No/ Name/References	Construction of 510.402 m long (45.732x11) PC Girder Charsindur Bridge at 32 nd km of Gazipur – Ajmatpur – Itakhola Regional Highway at Charsindur over The River Sitalakhya under Narsinhdhi Road Division during the year 2015- 2016/DZ-21/DEV/2015-2016
Description of Contracts / Objects of Procurement	Construction of 510.402 m long (45.732x11) PC Girder Charsindur Bridge at 32 nd km of Gazipur – Ajmatpur – Itakhola Regional Highway at Charsindur over The River Sitalakhya to establish route link
Contract Amount	BDT627476775.09 (BDT627.48million)
Procurement Type	NCT
Category of Procurement	Works
Method of Procurement	Open Tender (e-GP)

Financing Arrangement of the Procurement	GOB Development Fund
--	----------------------

Part-2: Review of Documents

Sl No	Documents and Aspects	Applicable Provisions (PPR-2008)	Actual
1.0	Procurement Plan		
1.1	Approval Status of APP	Rule-16(4)	Procurement plan Approved and attached to DPP
1.2	Official Cost Estimate	Rule-16(4)	Approved
2.	Procurement Opportunities		
2.1	Advertisement published in Daily Newspapers(NCT/ICT)	Rule90(2,Ka)	Janakantha 27 Oct 15 Observer 27 Oct 15
2.2	Advertisement published in PE's website	Rule90(2,Ja)	8 Nov15
2.3	Advertisement published in CPTU website	Rule90(2,Jha)	8 Nov15
2.4	Advertisement published in dg market	Rule90(3)	NA
2.5	Followed e-GP guide lines Or PPR-2008	PPR-2008	PPR
2.6	Corrigendum Issued	Rule90(2)(Ka)	NA
2.7	Tenders followed DP's Guidelines	Rule-3(1)	NA
3.	Tenders / Proposal Submission and Tender Opening		
3.1	Days allowed for preparation and Submission of Tender	Rule-61(41) Min.28 days	27 Oct 15-30 Nov 15: 33Days
3.2	Days allowed for Pre-tender / Pre proposal Minutes Issued	Rule18(1)(c) Max7days	Minute issued 4 th day
3.3	Deadline for submission of tenders	Rule-61(41) Min.28 days	16/03/2015 2.00PM
3.4	Tender opening date , time and place	Rule-61(41) Min.28 days	16/03/2015 2.00PM
3.5	No of Tender Documents / RFPs sold /issued	Not mentioned	10 Nos
3.6	No of Tenderer / Consultants participated	Not mentioned	6 Nos
3.7	Tender Validity Period	Rule19(1)) 60-120 days	28 March 16:90days
3.8	Tender Security Amount	Rule22(3) & (5) Not exceeding 3%	BDT175,000,00.00
4	Formation of TOC /POC and TEC/PEC :		
4.1	No of members in TOC/POC	Rule7 3 members	3

4.2	No of member in TOC/POC from TEC/PEC	Rule7 1 member	1
4.3	Number of members in TEC/PEC	Rule8(1)(2) 5-7 members	7
4.5	Number of external members in TEC /PEC	Rule8(2) Min 1	2
4.6	Authority approved TOC/POC with date	Rule7 PM as per recommendation of CCGP	PM as per recommendation of CCGP
4.7	Authority approved TEC/PEC with date	Rule8(3) Secretary	Secretary RTHD 5 June 09
4.8	Chairperson of TEC/PEC	Rule10(1)(e) ACE/PD	ACE,RHD Dhaka Zone
4.9	No of members in TSC if any	Rule8(15) Max.3	Nil
5	Tenders /Proposals Evaluation		
5.1	Days allowed per Rule between opening and completion/submission of evaluation reports	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 28days	30 Nov15-23 Dec15 23 Days
5.2	Actual days between opening and submission of evaluation	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 28days	23 Days
5.3	No of Responsive Tenders/ Proposals	NA	5
5.4	No of non Responsive Tender / Proposal with reasons	NA	1 (due to correction more than 2%)
5.5	Re-invitation of Tenders/ Proposals recommended by TEC/PEC	Rule11(2)	No
5.6	Procurement proceedings annulled/cancelled	Rule 11(2)Ga	No
6	Approval of Tenders/Proposals		
6.1	Days actual between submission of evaluation report and approval	Rule36(6), Schedule III No limit	23 Dec 15-27 March 16: 3 Months 4 Days
6.2	Approving Authority (AA) as per DoFP	PM as per Recommendation of CCGP	PM
6.3	Authority approved		Yes
6.4	Evaluation report was sent as per PPR to the AA	Rule 36(3)(Ka)(3)	yes
6.5	Date of approval received by PE	Rule36(4) Within 7 days of Approval	27 March 16
6.6	Date of issuance of NOA/PO/	Rule36(6),Sch.e III No limit for Contract award decision by the PM	28 March 16
6.7	Authority other than AA if any made additional review of the evaluation report		No
6.8	Authority higher or lower than AA, if any, approved the Contracts		No
7.0	Contract Award		

7.1	Procurement Processing lag/lead-time i.e. days actual spend between opening and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6), Sch. III No limit for Contract award decision by the PM	30 Nov 15-28 March 16:118 Days
7.2	Days actual spend between IFT/RFP and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6), Sch. III No limit for PM	25Oct 15-28 March 16:153 Days
7.3	Contract award made within the initial Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule 19(1) 60-120 days	Yes
7.4	Extension of Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule19(2) No limit	No
7.5	Publication of award in CPTU website/ PE's website/others	Rule 37(1), Sch. III	yes
8.0 Completion of Contract			
8.1	Days per original contract time specified for Supply/ Execution / Perform	ICD	28 Months
8.2	Days actual spend for Supply/ Execution / Perform	CD	NA
8.3	Amount of LD imposed and enforcement for delays.	Rule42(3)	NA
8.4	Damage imposed due to remaining/incomplete work	Rule42(3)	NA
8.5	Termination of contract and reasons	Rule 42(2)	NA
9.0 Complaints and Appeal			
9.1	Complaint, if any, lodged and reasons thereof	Rule-57	NA
9.2	Resolution of complaints per Rules	Rule-57	NA
9.3	Modifications resulting from resolution of complaints	Rule-57	NA
9.4	Appeal of Independent Review Panel	Rule58	NA
9.5	Review Panel's decision and follow-on	Rule-60	NA
10.Contract Amendment			
10.1	No of times contract time extended and days	Rule39(3)PE -1time(20%) HOPE No limit	NA
10.2	Contract amendment, variation/ Extra work order / repeat orders/Additional Delivery etc	Rule39(18)	NA
10.3	No and amount of such orders		NA
11.0 Others			
11.1	Corrupt, Fraudulent, Collusive and Coercive practice if any	Rule127(2)	NA
11.2	Contract dispute unresolved if any	Rule 42(4)	NA
11.3	Capacity of EE Office / HRD facilities:		1 ACE 1EE, 1SDE, 1AE, 1SAE

11.4	No of staff trained in procurement		3
------	------------------------------------	--	---

A (10) Individual Contract Review Format

Name of Contract: Strengthening & Surfacing (DBS Wearing Course), RCC U Drain, RCC palasiding and earthen shoulder at 1st km(p) 4th Km (p), 5th km(p), 6th km(p) and 7th km (p) of Abdullahpur–Terumukh Road (Z3003) of Dhaka Road Division, during the year 2015-2016

Part-1:General

Date of Review	18/05/18 & 19/05/18
Name of Reviewer	Md. Afil Uddin
Name of Procuring Entity	Superintending Engineer, RHD, Dhaka Road Circle.
Contract No/ Name/References	Strengthening & Surfacing (DBS Wearing Course), RCC U Drain, RCC palasiding and earthen shoulder at 1 st km(p) 4 th Km (p), 5 th km(p), 6 th km(p) and 7 th km (p) of Abdullahpur – Terumukh Road (Z3003) of Dhaka Road Division, during the year 2015-2016/23/e-GP/SE/DRC/ 2015-2016
Description of Contracts / Objects of Procurement	Strengthening & Surfacing (DBS Wearing Course), RCC U Drain, RCC palasiding and earthen shoulder at 1 st km(p) 4 th Km (p), 5 th km(p), 6 th km(p) and 7 th km (p) of Abdullahpur – Terumukh Road to improve the existing condition of Road
Contract Amount	BDT26675997.75 (BDT26.67million)
Procurement Type	NCT
Category of Procurement	Works
Method of Procurement	Open Tender(e-GP)
Financing Arrangement of the Procurement	GOB Development Fund

Part-2: Review of Documents

Sl No	Documents and Aspects	Applicable Provisions (PPR-2008)	Actual
-------	-----------------------	----------------------------------	--------

1.0	Procurement Plan		
1.1	Approval Status of APP	e - GP guide line: Cl.3.3.1.	Procurement plan Approved and attached to DPP
1.2	Official Cost Estimate	Rule-16(4)	Approved
2.	Procurement Opportunities		
2.1	Advertisement published in Daily Newspapers(NCT/ICT)	e-GP guide line: Cl. 3.5.1.2	Janakantha 25 Nov 15 Daily industry 25 Nov15
2.2	Advertisement published in PE's website	NA	NA
2.3	Advertisement published in CPTU website	NA	NA
2.4	Advertisement published in e-GP System	e-GP guide line: 3.5.1.2	24 Nov 15
2.5	Followed e-GP guide lines Or PPR-2008	e-GP guide line	Yes
2.6	Corrigendum Issued	e - GP guide line: Cl.3.5.4.2.	NA
2.7	Tenders followed DP's Guidelines	Rule-3(1)	NA
3.	Tenders / Proposal Submission and Tender Opening		
3.1	Days allowed for preparation and Submission of Tender	Rule-61(41) Min.21 days	24 Nov 15 -21 Dec15 27 Days
3.2	Days allowed for Pre-tender / Pre proposal Minutes Issued	Rule18(1)(c) Max7days	Online
3.3	Deadline for submission of tenders	Rule-61(41) Min.21 days	21 Dec15 12:00
3.4	Tender opening date , time and place	Rule-61(41) Min.21 days	21 Dec 15 12:15PM
3.5	No of Tender Documents / RFPs sold /issued	Not mentioned	4 Nos
3.6	No of Tenderer / Consultants participated	Not mentioned	4 Nos
3.7	Tender Validity Period	Rule19(1)) 60-120 days	21 Dec 15-19May16: 148 Days
3.8	Tender Security Amount	Rule22(3) & (5) Not exceeding 3%	BDT8,000,00.00
4	Formation of TOC /POC and TEC/PEC :		
4.1	No of members in TOC/POC	e-GP guideline: Cl.3.5.6.1 2 members	2
4.2	No of member in TOC/POC from TEC/PEC	e-GP guide line Cl. 3.6.1 1 member	1
4.3	Number of members in TEC/PEC	e-GP guide line Cl.3.6.1.1 3 members	3
4.5	Number of external members in TEC /PEC	e-GP guide line Cl.3.6.1.1 2 members	2

4.6	Authority approved TOC/POC with date	e-GP guideline: Cl.3.5.6.1 BPR	PE
4.7	Authority approved TEC/PEC with date	e-GP guideline: Cl.3.5.6.1 BPR	PE
4.8	Chairperson of TEC/PEC	e-GP guideline: Cl.3.6.1BPR	SE,RHD, Dhaka Road Circle
4.9	No of members in TSC if any	NA	Nil
5	Tenders /Proposals Evaluation		
5.1	Days allowed per Rule between opening and completion/submission of evaluation reports	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 2Weeks	21 Dec15-3 Jan 16: 12 Days
5.2	Actual days between opening and submission of evaluation	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 2Weeks	12 Days
5.3	No of Responsive Tenders/ Proposals	NA	4
5.4	No of non Responsive Tender / Proposal with reasons	NA	0
5.5	Re-invitation of Tenders/ Proposals recommended by TEC/PEC	PPR Rule11(2)	No
5.6	Procurement proceedings annulled/cancelled	Rule 11(2) Ga	No
6	Approval of Tenders/Proposals		
6.1	Days actual between submission of evaluation report and approval	Rule36(6), Schedule III 2weeks	3 Jan 16- 4 Jan 16 : 1Days
6.2	Approving Authority (AA) as per DoFP	ACE	ACE
6.3	Authority approved		Yes
6.4	Evaluation report was sent as per PPR to the AA	Rule 36(3)(Ka)(3)	Yes
6.5	Date of Contract approval decision received by AA,	Rule36(4)	4 Jan 16
6.6	Date of issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6),Sch. III Within 7 days of Approval	5Jan 16
6.7	Authority other than AA if any made additional review of the evaluation report	NA	No
6.8	Authority higher or lower than AA, if any, approved the Contracts	NA	No
7.0	Contract Award		
7.1	Procurement Processing lag/lead-time i.e. days actual spend between opening and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6), Sch. III 2weeks	21Dec15-5 Jan16 14 Days
7.2	Days actual spend between IFT/RFP and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6), Sch. III 6 Weeks	24 Nov 15-5 Jan 16 50 Days

7.3	Contract award made within the initial Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule 19(1) 60-120 days	Yes
7.4	Extension of Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule19(2) No limit	No
7.5	Publication of award in CPTU website/ PE's website/others	Rule 37(1), Sch. III	Yes
8.0 Completion of Contract			
8.1	Days per original contract time specified for Supply/ Execution / Perform	NA	3 Months
8.2	Days actual spend for Supply/ Execution / Perform	NA	3 Months
8.3	Amount of LD imposed and enforcement for delays.	Rule42(3)	NO
8.4	Damage imposed due to remaining/incomplete work	Rule42(3)	NO
8.5	Termination of contract and reasons	Rule 42(2)	NO
9.0 Complaints and Appeal			
9.1	Complaint, if any, lodged and reasons thereof	Rule-57	No
9.2	Resolution of complaints per Rules	Rule-57	NO
9.3	Modifications resulting from resolution of complaints	Rule-57	NO
9.4	Appeal of Independent Review Panel	Rule58	NO
9.5	Review Panel's decision and follow-on	Rule-60	NO
10.Contract Amendment			
10.1	No of times contract time extended and days	Rule39(3) PE -1 HOPE No limit	NA
10.2	Contract amendment, variation/ Extra work order / repeat orders/Additional Delivery etc	Rule39(18)	NA
10.3	No and amount of such orders		NA
11.0 Others			
11.1	Corrupt, Fraudulent, Collusive and Coercive practice if any	Rule127(2)	NO
11.2	Contract dispute unresolved	Rule 42(4)	NO
11.3	Capacity of EE Office / HRD facilities:		1 SE, 1EE,1SDE,1AE,1SAE
11.4	No of staff trained in procurement		3

A (11) Individual Contract Review Format

Name of Contract: Repairing damaged pavement by Aggregate Base Type-II and Premixed Bituminous carpeting and seal coat at 14th(p) km, 15th(p) km, 16th(p) km, 17th(p) km 18th(p) km and 19th(p) km of Narsindhi – Madangonj Road (R114) under Narayangonj Road Division, during the year 2015-2016

Part-1: General

Date of Review	20/05/18 & 21/05/18
Name of Reviewer	Md. Afil Uddin
Name of Procuring Entity	Superintending Engineer, RHD, Dhaka Road Circle
Contract Name/References No	Repairing damaged pavement by Aggregate Base Type-II and Premixed Bituminous carpeting and seal coat at 14 th (p) km, 15 th (p) km, 16 th (p) km, 17 th (p) km 18 th (p) km and 19 th (p) km of Narsindhi – Madangonj Road (R114) under Narayangonj Road Division, during the year 2015-2016/27/e-GP/SE/DRC/2015-2016
Description of Contracts / Objects of Procurement	Repairing damaged pavement by Aggregate Base Type-II and Premixed Bituminous carpeting and seal coat of Narsindhi – Madangonj Road to improve the affected Road
Contract Amount	BDT6176365.434 (BDT6.18million)
Procurement Type	NCT
Category of Procurement	Works
Method of Procurement	Open Tender(e-GP)
Financing Arrangement of the Procurement	GOB Revenue Fund

Part-2: Review of Documents

Sl No	Documents and Aspects	Applicable Provisions (PPR-2008)	Actual
1.0	Procurement Plan		

1.1	Approval Status of APP	e-GP guide line: Cl. 3.5.1.2	Procurement plan Approved and attached to DPP
1.2	Official Cost Estimate	Rule-16(4)	Approved
2.	Procurement Opportunities		
2.1	Advertisement published in Daily Newspapers(NCT/ICT)	e-GP guide line: Cl. 3.5.1.2	Financial Express 5 Feb 16 Samakal 5Feb 16
2.2	Advertisement published in PE's website	NA	8 Feb 16
2.3	Advertisement published in CPTU website	NA	NA
2.4	Advertisement published in e-GP system	e-GP guide line: 3.5.1.2	4 Feb16
2.5	Followed e-GP guide lines Or PPR-2008	e-GP guide line	e-GP guide line
2.6	Corrigendum Issued	e - GP guide line: Cl.3.5.4.2.	No
2.7	Tenders followed DP's Guidelines	Rule-3(1)	No
3.	Tenders / Proposal Submission and Tender Opening		
3.1	Days allowed for preparation and Submission of Tender	Rule-61(41) Min.14 days	4 Feb16-23 Feb16
3.2	Days allowed for Pre-tender / Pre proposal Minutes Issued	Rule18(1)(c) Max7days	On line
3.3	Deadline for submission of tenders	Rule-61(41) Min.14 days	23/02/2016 3.00PM
3.4	Tender opening date , time and place	Rule-61(41) Min.14 days	23/02/2016 3.15PM
3.5	No of Tender Documents / RFPs sold /issued	Not mentioned	Not found
3.6	No of Tenderer / Consultants participated	Not mentioned	13Nos
3.7	Tender Validity Period	Rule19(1)) 60-120 days	23Feb16-22july16 5months
3.8	Tender Security Amount	Rule22(3) & (5) Not exceeding 3%	BDT1750,00.00
4	Formation of TOC /POC and TEC/PEC :		
4.1	No of members in TOC/POC	e-GP guideline: Cl.3.5.6.1 2 members	2
4.2	No of member in TOC/POC from TEC/PEC	e-GP guide line Cl. 3.6.1 1 member	1
4.3	Number of members in TEC/PEC	e-GP guide line Cl.3.6.1.1 3 members	3
4.5	Number of external members in TEC /PEC	e-GP guide line Cl.3.6.1.1 2 members	2
4.6	Authority approved TOC/POC with date	e-GP guideline: Cl.3.5.6.1BPR	PE

4.8	Chairperson of TEC/PEC	e-GP guideline: CL.3.6.1BPR	SE,RHD Dhaka road circle
4.9	No of members in TSC if any	NA	Nil
5	Tenders /Proposals Evaluation		
5.1	Days allowed per Rule between opening and completion/submission of evaluation reports	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 28days	23/02/16-03/03/16 10 Days
5.2	Actual days between opening and submission of evaluation	Rule36(6) Sch. -III 28days	10 Days
5.3	No of Responsive Tenders/ Proposals		13
5.4	No of non Responsive Tender / Proposal with reasons		0
5.5	Re-invitation of Tenders/ Proposals recommended by TEC/PEC	Rule11(2)	No
5.6	Procurement proceedings annulled/cancelled	Rule 11(2)Ga	No
6	Approval of Tenders/Proposals		
6.1	Days actual between submission of evaluation report and approval	Rule36(6), Schedule III, 2Weeks	03/03/16-12/03/16
6.2	Approving Authority (AA) as per DoFP	ACE	ACE
6.3	Authority approved		Yes
6.4	Evaluation report was sent as per PPR to the AA	Rule 36(3)(Ka)(3)	yes
6.5	Date of approval decision received by PE	Rule36(4)	15/03/16
6.6	Date of issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6),Sch.e III Within 7 days of Approval	20 March16
6.7	Authority other than AA if any made additional review of the evaluation report	NA	No
6.8	Authority higher or lower than AA, if any, approved the Contracts	NA	No
7.0	Contract Award		
7.1	Procurement Processing lag/lead-time i.e. days actual spend between opening and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6), Sch. III 5weeks	23/02/16-20/03/16:27 Days
7.2	Days actual spend between IFT/RFP and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI/Contract signing	Rule36(6), Sch. III 6Weeks	04/02/16-20/03/16:46 Days
7.3	Contract award made within the initial Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule 19(1) 60-120 :5months	Yes
7.4	Extension of Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule19(2) No limit	No
7.5	Publication of award in CPTU website/ PE's website/others	Rule 37(1), Sch. III	Yes

8.0 Completion of Contract			
8.1	Days per original contract time specified for Supply/ Execution / Perform	NA	60 Days
8.2	Days actual spend for Supply/ Execution / Perform	NA	60 days
8.3	Amount of LD imposed and enforcement for delays.	Rule42(3)	No
8.4	Damage imposed due to remaining/incomplete work	Rule42(3)	No
8.5	Termination of contract and reasons	Rule 42(2)	No
9.0 Complaints and Appeal			
9.1	Complaint, if any, lodged and reasons thereof	Rule-57	No
9.2	Resolution of complaints per Rules	Rule-57	No
9.3	Modifications resulting from resolution of complaints	Rule-57	No
9.4	Appeal of Independent Review Panel	Rule58	No
9.5	Review Panel's decision and follow-on	Rule-60	No
10.Contract Amendment			
10.1	No of times contract time extended and days	Rule39(3) PE -1 HOPE No limit	NA
10.2	Contract amendment, variation/ Extra work order / repeat orders/Additional Delivery etc	Rule39(18)	NA
10.3	No and amount of such orders	NA	NA
11.0 Others			
11.1	Corrupt, Fraudulent, Collusive and Coercive practice if any	Rule127(2)	No
11.2	Contract dispute unresolved	Rule 42(4)	No
11.3	Capacity of PE Office / HRD facilities		1 SE, 1EE,1SDE,1AE,1SAE
11.4	Capacity of EE Office / HRD facilities		3

A (12) Individual Contract Review Format

Name of Contract: Improvement of Shibpur-Dulapur- Lakpur-Hatirdia Road by Strengthening and surfacing from 3rd km to 7thKm under Narsingdi Road Division, during the year 2015-2016

Part-1: General

Date of Review	20/05/18 &21/05/18
Name of Reviewer	Md. Afil Uddin
Name of Procuring Entity	Superintending Engineer, RHD, Dhaka Road Circle..
ContractName/References No	Improvement of Shibpur-Dulapur- Lakpur-Hatirdia Road by Strengthening and surfacing from 3rd km to 7thKm under Narsingdi Road Division, during the year 2015-2016/32/e-GP/SE/DRC/2015-2016
Description of Contracts / Objects of Procurement	Improvement of Shibpur-Dulapur- Lakpur-Hatirdia Road by Strengthening and surfacing.
Contract Amount	BDT 31409153.10 (BDT 31.41million)
Procurement Type	NCT
Category of Procurement	Works
Method of Procurement	Open Tendering Method (e-GP)
Financing Arrangement of the Procurement	GOB Development Fund

Part-2: Review of Documents

Sl No	Documents and Aspects	Applicable Provisions (PPR-2008)	Actual
1.0	Procurement Plan		
1.1	Approval Status of APP	e - GP guide line: Cl.3.3.1.	Approved
1.2	Official Cost Estimate	Rule-16(4)	Approved
2.	Procurement Opportunities		

2.1	Advertisement published in Daily Newspapers(NCT/ICT)	e-GP guide line: Cl. 3.5.1.2	NewNation15March,2016 Vorer Dac 15 March 2016
2.2	Advertisement published in PE's website	NA	
2.3	Advertisement published in CPTU website	NA	
2.4	Advertisement published in e-GP portal	e-GP guide line: 3.5.1.2	Published ;14 March 2016
2.5	Followed e-GP guide lines Or PPR-2008	e-GP guide line	e-GP guide line
2.6	Corrigendum Issued	e - GP guide line: Cl.3.5.4.2.	No
2.7	Tenders followed DP's Guidelines	Rule-3(1)	No
3.	Tenders / Proposal Submission and Tender Opening		
3.1	Days allowed for preparation and Submission of Tender	Rule-61(41) Min.21 days	14 March2016-5April2016 21days
3.2	Days allowed for Pre-tender / Pre proposal Minutes Issued	Rule18(1)(c) Max7days	On line
3.3	Deadline for submission of tenders	Rule-61(41) Min.21 days	5 April 2016 3.0PM
3.4	Tender opening date , time and place	Rule-61(41) Min.21 days	5April 2016 3.15PM
3.5	No of Tender Documents / RFPs sold /issued	Not mentioned	Not found
3.6	No of Tenderer / Consultants participated	Not mentioned	6 Nos
3.7	Tender Validity Period	Rule19(1)) 60-120 days: 5 Months	5April 16- 2Sep16 5 Months
3.8	Tender Security Amount	Rule22(3) & (5) Not exceeding 3%	BDT900000.00
4	Formation of TOC /POC and TEC/PEC :		
4.1	No of members in TOC/POC	e-GP guideline: Cl.3.5.6.1 2 members	2
4.2	No of member in TOC/POC from TEC/PEC	e-GP guide line Cl. 3.6.1 1 member	1
4.3	Number of members in TEC/PEC	e-GP guide line Cl.3.6.1.1 3 members	3
4.5	Number of members from PE	e-GP guide line Cl.3.6.1.1 2 members	2
4.6	Authority approved TOC/POC with date	e-GP guideline: Cl.3.5.6.1BPR	PE
4.7	Authority approved TEC/PEC with date	e-GP guideline: Cl.3.5.6.1BPR	PE
4.8	Chairperson of TEC/PEC	e-GP guideline: Cl.3.6.1BPR	SE Dhaka Road Circle(PE)

4.9	No of members in TSC if any	NA	Nil
5	Tenders /Proposals Evaluation		
5.1	Days allowed per Rule between opening and completion/submission of evaluation reports	Rule36(6) Sch. –III 2 Weeks	5 April 16-10 April 16 6days
5.2	Actual days between opening and submission of evaluation	Rule36(6) Sch. –III 2 Weeks	6 Days
5.3	No of Responsive Tenders/ Proposals	Not Mentioned	5
5.4	No of non Responsive Tender / Proposal with reasons	Not Mentioned	1 (Due to Shortage of qualification and experience)
5.5	Re-invitation of Tenders/ Proposals recommended by TEC/PEC	Rule11(2)	No
5.6	Procurement proceedings annulled/cancelled	Rule 11(2)Ga	No
6	Approval of Tenders/Proposals		
6.1	Days actual between submission of evaluation report and approval	Rule36(6), Schedule III 2Weeks	10 April 16-20 April 16 10Days
6.2	Approving Authority (AA) as per DoFP	ACE,RHD Dhaka Zone	ACE, RHD
6.3	Authority approved		Yes
6.4	Evaluation report was sent as per PPR to the AA	Rule 36(3)(Ka)(3)	yes
6.5	Date of approval decision received by PE,	Rule36(4)	20April 2016
6.6	Date of issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6),Sch. III Within 7 days of Approval Received	21April 2016
6.7	Authority other than AA if any made additional review of the evaluation report	NA	No
6.8	Authority higher or lower than AA, if any, approved the Contracts	NA	No
7.0	Contract Award		
7.1	Procurement Processing lag/lead-time i.e. days actual spend between opening and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI	Rule36(6), Sch. III 2 Weeks	10 April-21 April 16 : 11Days
7.2	Days actual spend between IFT/RFP and issuance of NOA/PO/LOI/contract signing	Rule36(6), Sch. III 5Weeks	14March-21 April16 37 Days
7.3	Contract award made within the initial Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule 19(1)	Yes
7.4	Extension of Tender/ Proposal validity period	Rule19(2) No limit	No
7.5	Publication of award in CPTU website/ PE's website/others	Rule 37(1), Sch. III	yes

8.0 Completion of Contract			
8.1	Days per original contract time specified for Supply/ Execution / Perform	ICD	60Days
8.2	Days actual spend for Supply/ Execution / Perform	NA	60days
8.3	Amount of LD imposed and enforcement for delays.	Rule42(3)	No
8.4	Damage imposed due to remaining/incomplete work	Rule42(3)	No
8.5	Termination of contract and reasons	Rule 42(2)	No
9.0 Complaints and Appeal			
9.1	Complaint, if any, lodged and reasons thereof	Rule-57	No
9.2	Resolution of complaints per Rules	Rule-57	No
9.3	Modifications resulting from resolution of complaints	Rule-57	No
9.4	Appeal of Independent Review Panel	Rule58	No
9.5	Review Panel's decision and follow-on	Rule-60	No
10.Contract Amendment			
10.1	No of times contract time extended and days	Rule39(3) PE -1 HOPE No limit	NA
10.2	Contract amendment, variation/ Extra work order / repeat orders/Additional Delivery etc	Rule39(18)	NA
10.3	No and amount of such orders	NA	NA
11.0 Others			
11.1	Corrupt, Fraudulent, Collusive and Coercive practice if any	Rule127(2)	NA
11.2	Contract dispute unresolved if any	Rule 42(4)	NA
11.3	Capacity of EE Office / HRD facilities:		1 SE, 1EE,!SDE,!AE,1SAE
11.4	No of staff trained in procurement		3

B. Profile of Procurement Items Reviewed

B.1

No of Procurements in review period										
Category	OTM	LTM	RFQ	OST ETM	TSTM	DPM	Others	No NCT	No ICT	Total
Works	72			7				73	6	79
Goods	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PSN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	72	0	0	7	0	0	0	73	6	79

No of Procurements in review period											
Service Category	QCBS	LCS	FB S	CSO S	SS S	IC S	SBC Q	DC S	QB S	Othe rs	Total
Consulting firms	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Individual consultants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PSN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

B. 2

No of Procurements reviewed										
Category	OTM	LTM	RFQ	OST ETM	TSTM	DPM	Others	No NCT	No ICT	Total
Works	7			4				8	3	11
Goods	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PSN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	7	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	11

No of Procurement reviewed											
Service Category	QCBS	LCS	FB S	CSO S	SS S	IC S	SBC Q	DC S	QB S	Others	Total
Consulting firms	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Individual consultants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PSN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

B.3

No of Physical Inspection in review period										
Category	OTM	LTM	RFQ	OST ETM	TSTM	DPM	Others	No NCT	No ICT	Total
Works	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	3	5
Goods	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PSN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	3	5

No of Physical Inspection in review period											
Service Category	QCBS	LCS	FB S	CSO S	SS S	IC S	SBC Q	DC S	QB S	Others	Total
Consulting firms	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Individual consultants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PSN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

C. Post Reviews of contracts Awarded under RFQM

No Request for Quotation contract was available during the review period hence the format shown below is not required to filled up.

<i>Date of Review:</i>	<i>Name of Reviewer:</i>
<i>Contract Name, Number and Date:</i> Name : Number: Date:	<i>Contract Amount:</i>
<i>Contractor's Name and Address</i> Name: Address:	

PROCUREMENT METHOD: International/ National

<i>Aspects</i>	<i>Comments and Findings</i>
Solicitation Letter issued to firms and the name of firms.	
Time allowed for submission of quotation	.
Verification of existing quotations, Names of suppliers who gave quotation	
Quotation evaluation report and date: comments if any.	
Signed contract/Purchase Order document	
Actual completion date	
Bill of lading, if any	
Delivery receipt or Store receipt or like instrument.	
Actual Completion Date	
Timeliness of payments	
Other matters	
Compliance with agreed provisions- Yes or No (Explain)	

D. (1) Post Reviews of Goods/ Works Contracts

<i>Date of Review: 08/05/2018</i>	<i>Name of Reviewer: Md. Afil Uddin</i>
<p><i>Contract Name, Number and Date:</i></p> <p><i>Name :Construction of Shitalakhya Bridge Project (Construction of 3rdSitalakhya Bridge and Approach Road</i></p> <p><i>Number: PW-1//PD/3-SBCP/220(contract1)</i></p> <p><i>Date:08 February 2017</i></p>	<p><i>Contract Amount:</i></p> <p><i>BDT4488391018.22</i></p>
<p><i>Contractor's Name and Address</i></p> <p><i>Name: Sinohydro Corporation Ltd.</i></p> <p><i>Address: No 22Chegongzhuang West Road, Haidan District Beijing, 100048,China.</i></p>	

PROCUREMENT METHOD:OSTETM

CATEGORY : Works

<i>Aspects</i>	<i>Comments and Findings</i>
Procurement Documentation (Filing)	Procurement was done following PPR-2008 and SFD guide line. Most of the original Procurement document was kept in file of the PD office as hard copy.The APD also maintains records as soft copy in the Laptop.
Advertising(PQ/TENDER)	Advertisement in Newspaper,RHD Website and CPTUs website is published in accordance with the provision of PPR-2008.
Pre/post qualification	Post qualification was made verifying documents submitted along with the tender.
Time allowed for submission ofTenders.	Time allowed for preparation and Submission is appeared to be high compared to the minimum 21 days .
Tender opening & minutes of Tender opening	Well formatted PQ Application and Tender Opening sheet is prepared and maintained.
Tender security records	Recorded the Bank Guaranty Amount, Bank name and Verified the Authenticity.

B. E. Report, verify existence of Tenders– Names of Tenderers.	TER-1,2,3 and 4 were used while evaluation.
Contract document	Specified Contract form was followed
Reference to DPs and “no objection,” if any (not required under Agreement)	No objection has given by SFD for the 2 nd lowest tenderer as the 1 st lowest tenderer was Black listed by them. SFD also suggested to negotiate with 2 nd lowest Tenderer.
Advance payment guarantee details and records	Advance payment was made after taking Bank guarantee (Premier Bank:PRE/GUL/FBG/019/2017)
Performance security details and records	Performance security was verified and found OK .
Complaints and Appeals	No Complaints and Appeals were lodged.
Contractual completion date	17 Feb 2020:(1095 days)
Variations/Repeat Orders if any	No Variations/Repeat Orders was done yet.
Actual completion date	Not yet completed
Bill of lading, if any	Not required
Delivery receipt or Store receipt or like instrument.	Not required
Timeliness of payments	Payment was made after submission of bill.
Other matters	First PQ Application was invited at 24 December 2013 and Tender document was issued to 4 prequalified tenderer. Out of 4 Tenderer only 1 Tenderer became responsive. When the ER is sent to SFD for concurrence they recommended to re invite the Tender because single responsive tender is not acceptable as per SFD guide line. So 2 nd time PQ and Tendering process was made.
Compliance with agreed provisions- Yes or No (Explain)	In broad sense, agreed provisions were complied.

D. (2) Post Reviews of Goods/ Works Contracts

Date of Review: 10/05/2018	Name of Reviewer: Md. Afil Uddin
Contract Name, Number and Date: Name : Construction of 4 lane Flyover at Bhulta on Dhaka- Sylhet National Highway Number: WD-01:RefBFO/PD/Tender/2014/190. Date: 26 October 2017	Contract Amount: BDT 2400566208.42
Contractor's Name and Address Name: CR24B-SPECTRA-MBEL JV Address: House-17, Road106, Block- CEN(F) Gulshan -2, Dhaka.	

PROCUREMENT METHOD:OSTETM

CATEGORY : Works

Aspects	Comments and Findings
Procurement Documentation (Fileing)	Procurement was done following PPR-2008 . Most of the document was kept in file as hard copy in the office of the PD. SDE and Contact person maintains records as soft copy in Laptop.
Advertising	Advertisement in Newspaper (English and Bengali), RHD's Website and CPTU's website is published in accordance with the provision of PPR-2008.
Pre/post qualification	Post qualification was made to verify the documents submitted by the lowest responsive Tenderer .
Time allowed for submission of Tenders.	Time allowed for preparation and Submission of tender(72days) is appeared to be very high compared to the required minimum 28days .
Tender opening & minutes of Tender opening	Well formatted Tender (technical Proposal) Opening Sheet containing Tender security(Bank bank guarantee)details, is prepared and maintained.

Tender security records	Recorded the Bank Guaranty Amount, Bank name and Verified the Authenticity.
B. E. Report, verify existence of Tenders– Names of Tenderers.	TER-1,2,3 and 4 were used while evaluation
Contract document	Specified Contract form was followed
Reference to DPs and “no objection,” if any (not required under Agreement)	Not required
Advance payment guarantee details and records	No provision of Advance payment was made.
Per. security details and records	Performance security was verified and found valid.
Complaints and Appeals	No Complaints and Appeals were lodged.
Contractual completion date	25/10/2017
Variations/Repeat Orders if any	No Variations is yet approved but PD has proposed for variation and time extension.
Actual completion date	Not yet completed
Bill of lading, if any	Not required
Delivery receipt or Store receipt or like instrument.	Not required
Timeliness of payments	.Payment is almost regular
Other matters	The contract is extended to 30 June 2018 without any cost increase. The PD also propose a variation for which further time extension will be required.
Compliance with agreed provisions- Yes or No (Explain)	In broad sense, agreed provisions were complied. But the revision of Indented completion period coupled extension of time make the contract time over-run. For variation contact value ill also increase.

D. (3) Post Reviews of Goods/ Works Contracts

Date of Review: 14/05/18 & 15/05/18	Name of Reviewer: Md. Afil Uddin
<p>Contract Name, Number and Date:</p> <p>Name ::Improvement of Road from Bogra Bazar Intersection to Kaliakur Bypass Intersection (18.9km) from 2-lane to 4lane including Structures.</p> <p>Number: SASEC-RCP/ICB/W-01(Lot NoWP-01) Part-1</p> <p>Date:15September 2015</p>	<p>Contract Amount:</p> <p>BDT 7043178793.00</p>
<p>Contractor's Name and Address</p> <p>Name: KYERYONG-SPECTRA Joint Venture</p> <p>Address: Kyeryong 201 Chungwoon Artvill,319-91 Sadang4-dong, Dongjak-gu, Seoul, Korea.</p>	

PROCUREMENT METHOD:OTM/OSTEM/TSTM/LTM/DPM/Others, Specify: OTM

CATEGORY : Works

Aspects	Comments and Findings
Procurement Documentation (Filing)	Procurement was done following PPR-2008 and ADB guide line. Most of the original Procurement document was kept in file of the PD office as hard copy. The PM & contact person also maintains records as soft copy in the Laptop.
Advertising(PQ/TENDER)	Advertisement in Newspaper, RHD Website and CPTUs website& dg-market is published in accordance with the provision of PPR-2008.
Pre/post qualification	Post qualification was made verifying documents submitted along with the tender..
Time allowed for submission of Tenders.	Time allowed for preparation and Submission (72 days) is appeared to be high compared to the minimum 42 days .
Tender opening & minutes of Tender	Well formatted Tender Opening sheet is prepared and

opening	maintained.
Tender security records	Recorded the Bank Guaranty Amount, Bank name and Verified the Authenticity.
B. E. Report, verify existence of Tenders– Names of Tenderers.	TER-1,2,3 and 4 were used while evaluation.
Contract document	Specified Contract form was followed
Reference to DPs and “no objection,” if any (not required under Agreement)	No objection has given by ADB
Advance payment guarantee details and records	Advance payment was made after taking Bank guarantee
Per. security details and records	Performance security was verified and found OK .
Complaints and Appeals	No Complaints and Appeals were lodged.
Contractual completion date	29 December 2018(39 months)
Variations/Repeat Orders if any	No Variations/Repeat Orders was done.
Actual completion date	Not yet completed
Bill of lading, if any	Not required
Delivery receipt or Store receipt or like instrument.	Not required
Timeliness of payments	Payment was made after submission of bill.
Other matters	
Compliance with agreed provisions- Yes or No (Explain)	In broad sense, agreed provisions were complied.

D. (4) Post Reviews of Goods/ Works Contracts)

Date of Review: 14/05/18 & 15/05/18	Name of Reviewer: Md. Afil Uddin
Contract Name, Number and Date: Name : Improvement of Road from Tangail to Elenga Intersection (10km) from 2-lane to 4 lane including Structures Number: SASEC-RCP/ICB/W-02(Lot No WP-04) Part-1 Date: 17 December 2015	Contract Amount: BDT 3536017604.64
Contractor's Name and Address Name: GDCL-DIENCO JV Address: Suite-4a,Nakshi Homes,6/1/A,Topkhana Road,Segun bagicha,Dhaka	

PROCUREMENT METHOD:OTM/OSTEM/TSTM/LTM/DPM/Others, Specify: OTM

CATEGORY : Works

Aspects	Comments and Findings
Procurement Documentation (Filing)	Procurement was done following PPR-2008 and ADB guide line. Most of the original Procurement document was kept in file of the PD office as hard copy. The PM & contact person also maintains records as soft copy in the Laptop.
Advertising(PQ/TENDER)	Advertisement in Newspaper, RHD Website and CPTUs website& dg-market is published in accordance with the provision of PPR-2008.
Pre/post qualification	Post qualification was made verifying documents submitted along with the tender..
Time allowed for submission of Tenders.	Time allowed for preparation and Submission(72 days) is appeared to be high compared to the minimum 42 days .
Tender opening & minutes of Tender	Well formatted Tender Opening sheet is prepared and

opening	maintained.
Tender security records	Recorded the Bank Guaranty Amount, Bank name and Verified the Authenticity.
B. E. Report, verify existence of Tenders– Names of Tenderers.	TER-1,2,3 and 4 were used while evaluation.
Contract document	Specified Contract form was followed
Reference to DPs and “no objection,” if any (not required under Agreement)	No objection has given by ADB
Advance payment guarantee details and records	Advance payment was made after taking Bank guarantee
Per. security details and records	Performance security was verified and found OK .
Complaints and Appeals	No Complaints and Appeals were lodged.
Contractual completion date	28 Feb 2019:(38 months)
Variations/Repeat Orders if any	No Variations/Repeat Orders was done.
Actual completion date	Not yet completed
Bill of lading, if any	Not required
Delivery receipt or Store receipt or like instrument.	Not required
Timeliness of payments	Payment was made after submission of bill.
Other matters	
Compliance with agreed provisions- Yes or No (Explain)	In broad sense, agreed provisions were complied.

D. (5) Post Reviews of Goods/ Works Contracts

<i>Date of Review:</i> 16/05/18 & 17/05/18	<i>Name of Reviewer:</i> Md. Afil Uddin
<p><i>Contract Name, Number and Date:</i></p> <p>Name : Construction of rigid pavement at 7th(p) km, 8th km, 9th(p) km of Birulia-Ashulia Road and Construction of rigid pavement including HBB at Hard Shoulder at 2nd (p) km, & 3rd (p) km of Shinnirtek-Gabtolli Bridge connecting (U-loop) Road under Dhaka Road Division during the year 2015-2016</p> <p>Number: DZ(e-GP)-02/PMP(Road) 2015-2016</p> <p>Date:10 September 2015</p>	<p><i>Contract Amount:</i></p> <p>BDT 83125914.00</p>
<p><i>Contractor's Name and Address</i></p> <p>Name:Taher & Sons(Pvt)Ltd &M/S Masud International Hi-Tech Engineering,Joint Venture</p> <p>Address:20 Dhaka Housing, Shyamoli,Dhaka-1207</p>	

PROCUREMENT METHOD:OTM/OSTEM/TSTM/LTM/DPM/Others, Specify: OTM

CATEGORY : Works

<i>Aspects</i>	<i>Comments and Findings</i>
Procurement Documentation (Filing)	Procurement was done following e_GP Guidelines. Most of the document is kept in archive of e-GP portal. So it can be easy to locate any file from the archive of e-GP portal. But the papers regarding Contract Administration and Management is not well documented. It is hard to locate all document from the manually handled file.
Advertising(PQ/TENDER)	Advertisement both in Newspaper and CPTUs website is complied with the provision of PPR-2008. As per Rule , days allowed for preparation and Submission is minimum 21 where 28 days were kept for preparation and Submission.

Pre/post qualification	Post qualification was made verifying the documents submitted. By the lowest tenderer
Time allowed for submission of Tenders.	Time allowed for submission was slightly more than that of minimum requirement as per rule .
Tender opening & minutes of Tender opening	Well formatted and recorded. ToS, ToR-1 and ToR-2 were used for the purposes.
Tender security records	Recorded as per ToR-1 and ToR-2
B. E. Report, verify existence of Tenders– Names of Tenderers.	TER-1,2,3 and 4 were used while evaluation.
Contract document	Specified Contract form was followed
Reference to DPs and “no objection,” if any (not required under Agreement)	Not required
Advance payment guarantee details and records	No provision of Advance payment was made.
Per. security details and records	Performance security was verified and found valid .
Complaints and Appeals	No Complaints and Appeals were lodged.
Contractual completion date	Original :6 months (09/03/16)
Variations/Repeat Orders if any	No Variations/Repeat Orders was done.
Actual completion date	09/03/2016 (6 months)
Bill of lading, if any	Not required
Delivery receipt or Store receipt or like instrument.	Not required
Timeliness of payments	It is appeared that bill were paid timely.
Other matters	
Compliance with agreed provisions- Yes or No (Explain)	In broad sense, agreed provisions were complied.

D. (6) Post Reviews of Goods/ Works Contracts

Date of Review: 16/05/18 & 17/05/18		Name of Reviewer: Md. Afil Uddin	
Contract Name, Number and Date:		Contract Amount:	
<p>Name : Improvement of Kapasia- Pabur-Fuldi-Kaligonj Road by widening, Strengthening,, carpeting and seal coat from ch.o.oo to 14+950, 17+950 to 21+700 under Gazipur Road Division during the year 2015-2016</p> <p>Number: DZ(e-GP)-12/Dev/2015-2016</p> <p>Date:29 November 2015</p>		<p>BDT 105564920.462</p>	
Contractor's Name and Address			
<p>Name:AJ-AFSE(JV)</p> <p>Address:87/1 & 29-west Dhanmondi,Shankor ,Dhaka1207</p>			

PROCUREMENT METHOD:OTM/OSTEM/TSTM/LTM/DPM/Others, Specify: OTM

CATEGORY : Works

Aspects	Comments and Findings
Procurement Documentation (Filing)	Procurement was done following e_GP Guidelines. Most of the document is kept in archive of e-GP portal. So it can be easy to locate any file from the archive of e-GP portal. But the papers regarding Contract Administration and Management is not well documented. It is hard to locate all document from the manually handled file.
Advertising(PQ/TENDER)	Advertisement both in Newspaper and CPTUs website is complied with the provision of PPR-2008. As per Rule , days allowed for preparation and Submission is minimum 28 where 28 days were kept for preparation and Submission.
Pre/post qualification	Post qualification was made verifying the documents

	submitted. By the lowest tenderer
Time allowed for submission of Tenders.	Time allowed for submission was same as that of minimum requirement as per rule .
Tender opening & minutes of Tender opening	Well formatted and recorded. ToS, ToR-1 and ToR-2 were used for the purposes.
Tender security records	Recorded as per ToR-1 and ToR-2
B. E. Report, verify existence of Tenders– Names of Tenderers.	TER-1,2,3 and 4 were used while evaluation.
Contract document	Specified Contract form was followed
Reference to DPs and “no objection,” if any (not required under Agreement)	Not required
Advance payment guarantee details and records	No provision of Advance payment was made.
Per. security details and records	Performance security was verified and found valid .
Complaints and Appeals	No Complaints and Appeals were lodged.
Contractual completion date	Original :365 days (28/11/16)
Variations/Repeat Orders if any	No Variations/Repeat Orders was done.
Actual completion date	28/11/2016 (365Days)
Bill of lading, if any	Not required
Delivery receipt or Store receipt or like instrument.	Not required
Timeliness of payments	It is appeared that bill were paid timely.
Other matters	
Compliance with agreed provisions- Yes or No (Explain)	In broad sense, agreed provisions were complied.

D. (7) Post Reviews of Goods/ Works Contracts

Date of Review: 16/05/18 & 17/05/18		Name of Reviewer: Md. Afil Uddin	
Contract Name, Number and Date:		Contract Amount:	
<p>Name : Construction of 216.34 m long (5x 42.68m) PC Girder over Bongshi River at 2nd km of Balia- Warshi-Mirjapur Road under Manikgonj Road Division during the year 2015-2016.</p> <p>Number: DZ(e-GP)-19/Dev/2015-2016</p> <p>Date:01 February 2016</p>		<p>BDT174613028.031</p>	
Contractor's Name and Address			
<p>Name:M/S Janmabumi Nirmata,Ohiduzzaman Chowdhury&The Nirmitee(JON)JV</p> <p>Address:67/ka,Shadipur R/A Sylhet</p>			

PROCUREMENT METHOD:OTM/OSTEM/TSTM/LTM/DPM/Others, Specify: OTM

CATEGORY : Works

Aspects	Comments and Findings
Procurement Documentation (Filing)	Procurement was done following e_GP Guidelines. Most of the document is kept in archive of e-GP portal. So it can be easy to locate any file from the archive of e-GP portal. But the papers regarding Contract Administration and Management is not well documented. It is hard to locate all document from the manually handled file.
Advertising(PQ/TENDER)	Advertisement both in Newspaper and CPTUs website is complied with the provision of PPR-2008. As per Rule , days allowed for preparation and Submission is minimum 28 where 28 days were kept for preparation and Submission.
Pre/post qualification	Post qualification was made verifying the documents

	submitted. By the lowest tenderer
Time allowed for submission of Tenders.	Time allowed for submission was same as that of minimum requirement as per rule .
Tender opening & minutes of Tender opening	Well formatted and recorded. ToS, ToR-1 and ToR-2 were used for the purposes.
Tender security records	Recorded as per ToR-1 and ToR-2
B. E. Report, verify existence of Tenders– Names of Tenderers.	TER-1,2,3 and 4 were used while evaluation.
Contract document	Specified Contract form was followed
Reference to DPs and “no objection,” if any (not required under Agreement)	Not required
Advance payment guarantee details and records	No provision of Advance payment was made.
Per. security details and records	Performance security was verified and found valid .
Complaints and Appeals	No Complaints and Appeals were lodged.
Contractual completion date	Original :18 months (01/08/17)
Variations/Repeat Orders if any	No Variations/Repeat Orders was done.
Actual completion date	01/08/2017 (18 months)
Bill of lading, if any	Not required
Delivery receipt or Store receipt or like instrument.	Not required
Timeliness of payments	It is appeared that bill were paid timely.
Other matters	
Compliance with agreed provisions- Yes or No (Explain)	In broad sense, agreed provisions were complied.

D. (8) Post Reviews of Goods/ Works Contracts

Date of Review: 16/05/18 & 17/05/18		Name of Reviewer: Md. Afil Uddin	
Contract Name, Number and Date: Name : Construction of 510.402 m long (45.732x11) PC Girder Charsindur Bridge at 32nd km of Gazipur – Ajmatpur – Itakhola Regional Highway at Charsindur over The River Sitalakhya under Narsinhdhi Road Division during the year 2015-2016 Number: DZ-21/DEV/2015-2016 Date:24 April 2016		Contract Amount: BDT627476775.09	
Contractor's Name and Address Name:JON(JV) Address: 67/ka,Shadipur R/A Sylhet			

PROCUREMENT METHOD:OTM/OSTEM/TSTM/LTM/DPM/Others, Specify: OTM

CATEGORY : Works

Aspects	Comments and Findings
Procurement Documentation (Filing)	Procurement was done following PPR-2008. Most of the original Procurement document was kept in file of the PE office. But the papers regarding Contract Administration and Management is not well documented. It is hard to locate all document from the manually handled file.
Advertising(PQ/TENDER)	Advertisement in Newspaper, RHD Website and CPTUs website is published in accordance with the provision of PPR-2008.
Pre/post qualification	Post qualification was made verifying documents submitted along with the tender..
Time allowed for submission of	Time allowed for preparation and Submission is slightly

Tenders.	high compared to the minimum 28 days .
Tender opening & minutes of Tender opening	Well formatted Tender Opening sheet is prepared and maintained.
Tender security records	Recorded the Bank Guaranty Amount, Bank name and Verified the Authenticity.
B. E. Report, verify existence of Tenders– Names of Tenderers.	TER-1,2,3 and 4 were used while evaluation.
Contract document	Specified Contract form was followed
Reference to DPs and “no objection,” if any (not required under Agreement)	No objection is not required
Advance payment guarantee details and records	No provision of Advance payment
Per. security details and records	Performance security was verified and found OK .
Complaints and Appeals	No Complaints and Appeals were lodged.
Contractual completion date	24 August 2018(28 months)
Variations/Repeat Orders if any	No Variations/Repeat Orders was done.
Actual completion date	Not yet completed
Bill of lading, if any	Not required
Delivery receipt or Store receipt or like instrument.	Not required
Timeliness of payments	Payment was made after submission of bill.
Other matters	
Compliance with agreed provisions- Yes or No (Explain)	In broad sense, agreed provisions were complied.

D. (9) Post Reviews of Goods/ Works Contracts

Date of Review: 18/05/18 & 19/05/18		Name of Reviewer: Md. Afil Uddin	
Contract Name, Number and Date:		Contract Amount:	
<p>Name : Strengthening & Surfacing (DBS Wearing Course),RCC U Drain,RCC palasiding and earthen shoulder at 1st km(p) 4th Km (p),5th km(p), 6th km(p) and7th km (p) of Abdullahpur–Terumukh Road (Z3003) of Dhaka Road Division, during the year 2015-2016</p> <p>Number: 23/e-GP/SE/DRC/ 2015-2016</p> <p>Date:31 January 2016</p>		<p>BDT26675997.75</p>	
Contractor's Name and Address			
<p>Name:HE-SE(JV)</p> <p>Address:Zaman Court,45 Dilkudhsa C/A,7th floor ,Dhaka</p>			

PROCUREMENT METHOD:OTM/OSTEM/TSTM/LTM/DPM/Others, Specify: OTM

CATEGORY : Works

Aspects	Comments and Findings
Procurement Documentation (Filing)	Procurement was done following e_GP Guidelines. Most of the document is kept in archive of e-GP portal. So it can be easy to locate any file from the archive of e-GP portal. But the papers regarding Contract Administration and Management is not well documented. It is hard to locate all document from the manually handled file.
Advertising(PQ/TENDER)	Advertisement both in Newspaper and CPTUs website is complied with the provision of PPR-2008. As per Rule , days allowed for preparation and Submission is minimum 21 where21 days were keptfor preparation and Submission.

Pre/post qualification	Post qualification was made verifying the documents submitted. By the lowest tenderer
Time allowed for submission of Tenders.	Time allowed for submission was same as that of minimum requirement as per rule .
Tender opening & minutes of Tender opening	Well formatted and recorded. ToS, ToR-1 and ToR-2 were used for the purposes.
Tender security records	Recorded as per ToR-1 and ToR-2
B. E. Report, verify existence of Tenders– Names of Tenderers.	TER-1,2,3 and 4 were used while evaluation.
Contract document	Specified Contract form was followed
Reference to DPs and “no objection,” if any (not required under Agreement)	Not required
Advance payment guarantee details and records	No provision of Advance payment was made.
Per. security details and records	Performance security was verified and found valid .
Complaints and Appeals	No Complaints and Appeals were lodged.
Contractual completion date	Original :90 days (30/04/16)
Variations/Repeat Orders if any	No Variations/Repeat Orders was done.
Actual completion date	30/04/2016 (90 Days)
Bill of lading, if any	Not required
Delivery receipt or Store receipt or like instrument.	Not required
Timeliness of payments	It is appeared that bill were paid timely.
Other matters	
Compliance with agreed provisions- Yes or No (Explain)	In broad sense, agreed provisions were complied.

D. (10) Post Reviews of Goods/ Works Contracts

Date of Review: 20/05/18 & 21/05/18		Name of Reviewer: Md. Afil Uddin	
Contract Name, Number and Date:		Contract Amount:	
<p>Name : Repairing damaged pavement by Aggregate Base Type-II and Premixed Bituminous carpeting and seal coat at 14th(p) km, 15th(p) km, 16th(p) km, 17th(p) km 18th(p) km and 19th(p) km of Narsindhi – Madangonj Road (R114) under Narayangonj Road Division, during the year 2015-2016</p> <p>Number: 27/e-GP/SE/DRC/2015-2016</p> <p>Date:27 March 2016</p>		<p>BDT6176365.434</p>	
Contractor's Name and Address			
Name: Aditi Traide International			
Address: 378 Madina Maszid Road, Thakarpara, Comilla			

PROCUREMENT METHOD:OTM/OSTEM/TSTM/LTM/DPM/Others, Specify: OTM

CATEGORY : Works

Aspects	Comments and Findings
Procurement Documentation (Filing)	Procurement was done following e_GP Guidelines. Most of the document is kept in archive of e-GP portal. So it can be easy to locate any file from the archive of e-GP portal. But the papers regarding Contract Administration and Management is not well documented. It is hard to locate all document from the manually handled file.
Advertising(PQ/TENDER)	Advertisement both in Newspaper and CPTUs website is complied with the provision of PPR-2008. As per Rule , days allowed for preparation and Submission is minimum 21 where 21 days were kept for preparation and Submission.

Pre/post qualification	Post qualification was made verifying the documents submitted. By the lowest tenderer
Time allowed for submission of Tenders.	Time allowed for submission was same as that of minimum requirement as per rule .
Tender opening & minutes of Tender opening	Well formatted and recorded. ToS, ToR-1 and ToR-2 were used for the purposes.
Tender security records	Recorded as per ToR-1 and ToR-2
B. E. Report, verify existence of Tenders– Names of Tenderers.	TER-1,2,3 and 4 were used while evaluation.
Contract document	Specified Contract form was followed
Reference to DPs and “no objection,” if any (not required under Agreement)	Not required
Advance payment guarantee details and records	No provision of Advance payment was made.
Per. security details and records	Performance security was verified and found valid .
Complaints and Appeals	No Complaints and Appeals were lodged.
Contractual completion date	Original :60 days (27/05/16)
Variations/Repeat Orders if any	No Variations/Repeat Orders was done.
Actual completion date	27/05/2016 (60 Days)
Bill of lading, if any	Not required
Delivery receipt or Store receipt or like instrument.	Not required
Timeliness of payments	It is appeared that bill were paid timely.
Other matters	
Compliance with agreed provisions- Yes or No (Explain)	In broad sense, agreed provisions were complied.

D. (11) Post Reviews of Goods/ Works Contracts

Date of Review: 20/05/18 & 21/05/18		Name of Reviewer: Md. Afil Uddin	
Contract Name, Number and Date:		Contract Amount:	
Name : Improvement of Shibpur –Dulapur-Lakpur-Hatirdia Roadby Strengthening and surfacing from 3 rd km to7th km under Narsingdi Road Division durin the year 2015-2016. Number: 32/e-GP/SE/DRC/2015-2016 Date: 28 April 2016		BDT 31409153.10	
Contractor's Name and Address			
Name: Classic Builders			
Address: 12/17,Shantinagar Bazar Road,Dhaka-1217			

PROCUREMENT METHOD:OTM/OSTEM/TSTM/LTM/DPM/Others, Specify: OTM

CATEGORY : Works

Aspects	Comments and Findings
Procurement Documentation (Filing)	Procurement was done following e_GP Guidelines. Most of the document is kept in archive of e-GP portal. So it can be easy to locate any file from the archive of e-GP portal. But the papers regarding Contract Administration and Management is not well documented. It is hard to locate all document from the manually handled file.
Advertising(PQ/TENDER)	Advertisement both in Newspaper and CPTUs website is complied with the provision of PPR-2008. As per Rule , days allowed for preparation and Submission is minimum 21 where21 days were kept for preparation and Submission.
Pre/post qualification	Post qualification was made verifying the documents

	submitted. By the lowest tenderer
Time allowed for submission of Tenders.	Time allowed for submission was same as that of minimum requirement as per rule .
Tender opening & minutes of Tender opening	Well formatted and recorded. ToS, ToR-1 and ToR-2 were used for the purposes.
Tender security records	Recorded as per ToR-1 and ToR-2
B. E. Report, verify existence of Tenders– Names of Tenderers.	TER-1,2,3 and 4 were used while evaluation.
Contract document	Specified Contract form was followed
Reference to DPs and “no objection,” if any (not required under Agreement)	Not required
Advance payment guarantee details and records	No provision of Advance payment was made.
Per. security details and records	Performance security was verified and found valid .
Complaints and Appeals	No Complaints and Appeals were lodged.
Contractual completion date	Original :60 days (28/06/16)
Variations/Repeat Orders if any	No Variations/Repeat Orders was done.
Actual completion date	28/06/2016 (60 Days)
Bill of lading, if any	Not required
Delivery receipt or Store receipt or like instrument.	Not required
Timeliness of payments	It is appeared that bill were paid timely.
Other matters	
Compliance with agreed provisions- Yes or No (Explain)	In broad sense, agreed provisions were complied.

E. Post Reviews of Consultants Contracts

Date of Review: 07/05/2018	Name of Reviewer: Md. Afil Uddin
Contract Name, Number and Date: Name : Consultancy Services for Construction Supervision of Shitalakhya Bridge Project (3 rd Sitalakhya Bridge Project) Number: Services : Package No:1 Date: 26 January 2014	Contract Amount: BDT 124024204.40
Contractor's Name and Address Name: SARM Associates Limited and OMAR MUNSHI Associates,AL Muhandis NK Consulting Engineers KSA Address:	

PROCUREMENT METHOD:OTM/OSTEM/TSTM/LTM/DPM/Others, Specify: OTM

CATEGORY : Works

Aspects	Comments and Findings
Procurement Documentation (Filing)	Procurement was done following PPR-2008 and SFD guide line. Most of the original Procurement document was kept in file of the PD office as hard copy. The APD also maintain records as soft copy in the Laptop.
Advertising(REOI)	Advertisement in Newspaper, RHD Website and CPTUs website is published in accordance with the provision of PPR-2008.
Request for Proposal	Post qualification was made verifying documents submitted along with the tender..
Time allowed for submission of Proposals.	Time allowed for preparation and Submission is appeared to be high compared to the minimum 42 days .
Evaluation criteria as per SRFP	Yes
Technical Evaluatuin	Technical evaluation was done as per evaluation criteria

	&3 consulting firms become responsive out of 7 .
Evaluation Report and comments if any	Technical evaluation report submitted to HOPE
Financial Envelop Opening	Financial offer of 3 firms opened in front of them
Combined Evaluatuon	Combined evaluation has done & no objection has taken from SFD .
Signed contract documents	Contract signed on 26 Jan 2014
Advance payment guarantee details and records	.Advance payment was made taking bank guarantee
Complaints and Appeals	No Complaints and Appeals were lodged.
Contractual completion date	26 July 2018(54 months)
Variations/and /or Replacement of Key personale	No Variations/Repeat Orders was done.
Actual completion date	Not yet completed
Outputs/Reports	Not required
Timeliness of payments	Not required
Other matters	Pament was made after submission of bill.
Compliance with agreed provisions- Yes or No (Explain)	Yes

F. (1) Format of Physical Inspection

Procurement item name and number	Name: Consultancy Services for Construction Supervision of Shitalakhya Bridge Project (3 rd Sitalakhya Bridge Project) Number: Services : Package No:1
Location	Over river Shitalakhya at Upazila- Bandar District- Narayangonj. under Roads and Highways Department
Date of visit	07/05/2018
Date of contract	26 January 2014
Contracted completion date (original)	26 July 2018(54 months)
Actual completion date	Not yet completed
Contract value	BDT 124024204.40
Final contract value	Final bill not yet given
Supplier/Contractor	SARM Associates Limited and OMAR MUNSHI Associates,AL Muhandis NK Consulting Engineers KSA
Arrival date at port (Goods)	N/A
Arrival date at project site/warehouse Goods)	N/A
Scope of work	Construction supervision
Materials (supply and quality)	N/A
Workmanship quality	NA
Construction supervision	NA
Time overruns	Not yet completed
Cost overruns	Not yet completed.
Deficiencies/defects observed	NA

Timeliness of payments to contractor	Monthly Invoice paid
Comments and recommendations	

F. (2) Format of Physical Inspection

Procurement item name and number	<i>Construction of Shitalakhya Bridge Project (Construction of 3rd Sitalakhya Bridge and Approach Road Number:PW-1//PD/3-SBCP/220 (contract1)</i>
Location	Bridge over river Shitalakhya Upazila- Baandr District- Narayangonj under Shitalakhya Bridge Project RHD
Date of visit	08/05/2018
Date of contract	08/02/2017
Contracted completion date (original)	17 Feb 2020 (1095 days)
Actual completion date	Not yet completed
Contract value	BDT 4488391018.22
Final contract value	Yet to be finalized.
Supplier/Contractor	<i>Sinohydro Corporation Ltd.</i>
Arrival date at port (Goods)	N/A
Arrival date at project site/warehouse Goods)	N/A
Scope of work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction of PC box girder bridge and approach road • River training work
Materials (supply and quality)	N/A
Workmanship quality	The workmanship is good and the work it is

	apparently good looking
Construction supervision	The construction supervision seems good
Time overruns	Not yet, but the progress of work demand time extension.
Cost overruns	Cost overruns is not incurred yet.
Deficiencies/defects observed	No major deficiencies in work is visible or reported
Timeliness of payments to contractor	R/A bills were paid timely..
Comments and recommendations	The quality of work is reasonably good but the progress of work seems lagging. The reason may be due to change in design and increase of scope of work.

F.(3) Format of Physical Inspection

Procurement item name and number	<i>Construction of 4 lane Flyover at Bhulta on Dhaka-Sylhet National Highway</i> Number:WD-01:RefBFO/PD/Tender/2014/190.
Location	Bhulta. District- Dhakat under Bhulta Flyover Project, RHD
Date of visit	10/05/2018
Date of contract	26/10/2017
Contracted completion date (original)	25/10/2017
Actual completion date	Not yet completed
Contract value	BDT 2400566208.42
Final contract value	Final bill not yet given

Supplier/Contractor	<i>CR24B-SPECTRA-MBEL JV</i>
Arrival date at port (Goods)	N/A
Arrival date at project site/warehouse Goods)	N/A
Scope of work	Construction of flyover, Ramp and Approach Road
Materials (supply and quality)	N/A
Workmanship quality	The workmanship is good and the work it is apparently good looking
Construction supervision	The construction supervision seems good
Time overruns	Not yet, but the progress of work demand time extension.
Cost overruns	Cost overruns is not incurred yet.
Deficiencies/defects observed	No major deficiencies in work is visible or reported
Timeliness of payments to contractor	R/A bills were paid timely..
Comments and recommendations	The quality of work is reasonably good but the progress of work seems lagging. The reason may be due to change in design and increase of scope of work.

F.(4) Format of Physical Inspection

Procurement item name and number	<i>Name: Improvement of Road from Bogra Bazar Intersection to Kaliakur Bypass Intersection (18.9km) from 2-lane to 4lane including Structures.</i> Number: SASEC-RCP/ICB/W-01(Lot NoWP-01) Part-1
Location	Upazila- kaliakurt District- Gazipur under SASEC Road Connectivity Project, RHD
Date of visit	14/05/18 & 15/05/18
Date of contract	15/09/2015
Contracted completion date (original)	29 December 2018(39 months)
Actual completion date	Not yet completed
Contract value	BDT 7043178793.00
Final contract value	Works not yet completed
Supplier/Contractor	<i>KYERYONG-SPECTRA Joint Venture</i>
Arrival date at port (Goods)	N/A
Arrival date at project site/warehouse Goods)	N/A
Scope of work	Construction of road from 2 lane to 4 lane including earth work, sub-base, base, and wearing surface construction.. Construction of fly-over, bridges and culverts
Materials (supply and quality)	N/A
Workmanship quality	The workmanship is good and the work it is apparently good looking
Construction supervision	The construction supervision seems good
Time overruns	Not yet, but the progress of work demand time extension.
Cost overruns	Cost overruns is not incurred yet.

Deficiencies/defects observed	No major deficiencies in work is visible or reported
Timeliness of payments to contractor	It seems R/A bills were paid timely.
Comments and recommendations	The quality of work is reasonably good but the progress of work seems lagging.. The reason may be due to delay in hand over of land and shifting of existing utility services..

F.(5) Format of Physical Inspection

Procurement item name and number	Improvement of Road from Tangail to Elenga Intersection (10km) from 2-lane to 4 lane including Structures Number: SASEC-RCP/ICB/W-02(Lot NoWP-04) Part-1
Location	Upazila-Mirzapur District- Tangail under SASEC Road Connectivity Project, RHD
Date of visit	14/05/18 & 15/05/18
Date of contract	17 /12/2015
Contracted completion date (original)	28 Feb 2019:(38 months)
Actual completion date	Not yet completed
Contract value	BDT 3536017604.64
Final contract value	.
Supplier/Contractor	GDCL-DIENCO JV
Arrival date at port (Goods)	N/A
Arrival date at project site/warehouse Goods)	N/A
Scope of work	Construction of road from 2 lane to 4 lane including earth work, sub-base, base, and wearing surface construction..

	Construction of fly-over, bridges and culverts
Materials (supply and quality)	N/A
Workmanship quality	The workmanship is good and the work it is apparently good looking
Construction supervision	The construction supervision seems good
Time overruns	Not yet, but the progress of work demand time extension.
Cost overruns	Cost overruns is not incurred yet.
Deficiencies/defects observed	No major deficiencies in work is visible or reported
Timeliness of payments to contractor	It seems R/A bills were paid timely.
Comments and recommendations	The quality of work is reasonably good but the progress of work seems lagging.. The reason may be due to delay in hand over of land and shifting of existing utility services.

F.(6) Format of Physical Inspection

Procurement item name and number	<p>Construction of rigid pavement at 7th(p) km, 8th km, 9th(p) km of Birulia-Ashulia Road and Construction of rigid pavement including HBB at Hard Shoulder at 2nd (p) km, & 3rd (p) km of Shinnirtek-Gabtoli Bridge connecting (U-loop) Road under Dhaka Road Division during the year 2015-2016</p> <p>Number: DZ(e-GP)-02/PMP(Road) 2015-2016</p>
Location	Ashulia and Gabtoli Upazila-Ashulia ,Mirpur

	District- Dhakat under Dhaka Road Division, RHD
Date of visit	16/05/18 & 17/05/18
Date of contract	10 /09/2015
Contracted completion date (original)	6 months (09/03/16)
Actual completion date	6 months (09/03/16)
Contract value	BDT 83125914.00
Final contract value	BDT 83125914.00
Supplier/Contractor	Taher & Sons (Pvt)Ltd &M/S Masud International Hi-Tech Engineering, Joint Venture
Arrival date at port (Goods)	N/A
Arrival date at project site/warehouse Goods)	N/A
Scope of work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction of rigid pavement • Construction of HBB at shoulder
Materials (supply and quality)	N/A
Workmanship quality	It is apparently good looking
Construction supervision	The construction supervision seems that was good
Time overruns	No time overrun occurred.
Cost overruns	Cost overruns is not incurred.
Deficiencies/defects observed	No major deficiencies in work is visible or reported
Timeliness of payments to contractor	All the bills were paid..
Comments and recommendations	e-GP tender ensure Tranferancy and timeliness. So Major procuremnts should be done through e-GP system..

F.(7) Format of Physical Inspection

Procurement item name and number	Improvement of Kapasia- Pabur-Fuldi-Kaligonj Road by widening, Strengthening,, carpeting and seal coat from ch.o.oo to 14+950, 17+950 to 21+700 under Gazipur Road Division during the year 2015-2016 Number: DZ(e-GP)-12/Dev/2015-2016
Location	Upazila- Kapasia District- Gazipur under Gazipur Road Division, RHD
Date of visit	16/05/18 & 17/05/18
Date of contract	29 /11/2015
Contracted completion date (original)	28/11/2016 (365Days)
Actual completion date	28/11/2016 (365Days)
Contract value	BDT 105564920.462
Final contract value	BDT 105564920.462
Supplier/Contractor	AJ-AFSE(JV)
Arrival date at port (Goods)	N/A
Arrival date at project site/warehouse Goods)	N/A
Scope of work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Widening of existing road, • Repair of road • Carpeting and sealcoat on existing road
Materials (supply and quality)	N/A
Workmanship quality	It is apparently good looking
Construction supervision	The construction supervision seems that was good
Time overruns	No time overrun occurred.

Cost overruns	Cost overruns is not incurred.
Deficiencies/defects observed	No major deficiencies in work is visible or reported
Timeliness of payments to contractor	All the bills were paid..
Comments and recommendations	e-GP tender ensure Tranferancy and timelyness. So Major procuremnts should be done through e-GP system..

F.(8) Format of Physical Inspection

Procurement item name and number	Name: Construction of 216.34 m long (5x 42.68m) PC Girder over Bongshi River at 2nd km of Balia- Warshi-Mirjapur Road under Manikgonj Road Division during the year 2015-2016. Number: DZ(e-GP)-19/Dev/2015-2016
Location	Over Bongshi river Upazila- Kanaighat District- Manikgonj under Manikgonj Road Division, RHD
Date of visit	16/05/18 & 17/05/18
Date of contract	01/02/2016
Contracted completion date (original)	01/08/2017 (18 months)
Actual completion date	01/08/2017 (18 months)
Contract value	BDT 174613028.031
Final contract value	BDT 174613028.031
Supplier/Contractor	M/S Janmabumi Nirmata, Ohiduzzaman Chowdhury & The Nirmitee (JON) JV
Arrival date at port (Goods)	N/A

Arrival date at project site/warehouse Goods)	N/A
Scope of work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction PC girder bridge which includes Cast in situ pile, RCC Pier and PSC I girder and approach road,
Materials (supply and quality)	N/A
Workmanship quality	The quality of works is reasonable. It would have been better if the test of materials used for construction was done. But it is apparently good looking.
Construction supervision	The construction supervision seems good
Time overruns	Time overrun is not incurred
Cost overruns	Cost overrun is not incurred.
Deficiencies/defects observed	No major deficiencies in work is visible or reported
Timeliness of payments to contractor	All the bills were paid.
Comments and recommendations	e-GP tender ensure Tranferancy and timeliness. So Major procuremnts should be done through e-GP system.

F.(9) Format of Physical Inspection

Procurement item name and number	Name: Construction of 510.402 m long (45.732x11) PC Girder Charsindur Bridge at 32nd km of Gazipur – Ajmatpur – Itakhola Regional Highway at Charsindur over The River Sitalakhya under Narsindhhi Road Division during the year 2015-
----------------------------------	---

	2016 Number: DZ-21/DEV/2015-2016
Location	Charsindur, over river sitalakhya Upazila- Charsindur District- Narsingdi under Narsindi Road Division, RHD
Date of visit	16/05/18 & 17/05/18
Date of contract	24 /04/2016
Contracted completion date (original)	24 August 2018(28 months)
Actual completion date	Not yet completed
Contract value	BDT 627476775.09
Final contract value	Final bill not yet given
Supplier/Contractor	JON(JV)
Arrival date at port (Goods)	N/A
Arrival date at project site/warehouse Goods)	N/Aing
Scope of work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction PC girder bridge which includes Cast in situ pile, RCC Pier and PSC I girder and approach road,
Materials (supply and quality)	N/A
Workmanship quality	The quality of works is reasonable. It would have been better if the test of materials used for construction was done. But it is apparently good looking
Construction supervision	The construction supervision seems good
Time overruns	Works not yet completed
Cost overruns	Cost overruns is not incurred yet bu the PE proposed a variation with a cost over run of 12.5%
Deficiencies/defects observed	No major deficiencies in work is visible or reported

Timeliness of payments to contractor	It seems that R/A bills paid timely amounting But the final bill will be processed after approval of the variation.l.
Comments and recommendations	be unusual case.

F.(10) Format of Physical Inspection

Procurement item name and number	Name: Strengthening & Surfacing (DBS Wearing Course),RCC U Drain,RCC palasiding and earthen shoulder at 1st km(p) 4th Km (p),5th km(p), 6th km(p) and7th km (p) of Abdullapur–Terumukh Road (Z3003) of Dhaka Road Division, during the year 2015-2016 Number: 23/e-GP/SE/DRC/ 2015-2016
Location	Abdullapur, Upazila-Uttara District- Dhaka under Dhaka Road Division, RHD
Date of visit	18/05/18 & 19/05/18
Date of contract	31 /01/2016
Contracted completion date (original)	30/04/2016 (90 Days)
Actual completion date	30/04/2016 (90 Days)
Contract value	BDT 26675997.75
Final contract value	BDT 26675997.75
Supplier/Contractor	HE-SE(JV)
Arrival date at port (Goods)	N/A
Arrival date at project site/warehouse Goods)	N/A

Scope of work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction of earthen shoulder, • RCC pallsiding • RCC Udrain • Surfacing by DBS wearing course
Materials (supply and quality)	Not applicable
Workmanship quality	It is apparently good looking
Construction supervision	The construction supervision seems was good
Time overruns	No
Cost overruns	Cost overrun is not incurred.
Deficiencies/defects observed	No major deficiencies in work is visible or reported
Timeliness of payments to contractor	All the bills including final bill is paid.
Comments and recommendations	e-GP tender ensure Tranferancy and timelyness. So Major procuremnts should be done through e-GP system.

F(11) Format of Physical Inspection

Procurement item name and number	<p>Name: Repairing damaged pavement by AggregateBase Type-II and Premixed Bituminous carpeting and seal coat at 14th(p) km, 15th(p) km, 16th(p) km, 17th(p) km 18th(p) km and 19th(p) km of Narsindhi – Madangonj Road (R114) under Narayangonj Road Division, during the year 2015-2016</p> <p>Number: 27/e-GP/SE/DRC/2015-2016</p>
Location	Upazila- Madangonj District- Narayangonj under Narayangonj Road Division, RHD
Date of visit	20/05/18 & 21/05/18
Date of contract	27 /03/2016
Contracted completion date (orig.)	27/05/2016 (60 Days)

Actual completion date	27/05/2016 (60 Days)
Contract value	BDT 6176365.434
Final contract value	BDT 6176365.434
Supplier/Contractor	Aditi Traide International
Arrival date at port (Goods)	N/A
Arrival date at project site/warehouse Goods)	N/A
Scope of work	Repairing existing damaged pavement with aggregate base type-2 and carpetting works.
Materials (supply and quality)	Not applicable
Workmanship quality	It is apparently good looking
Construction supervision	The construction supervision seems was good
Time overruns	No
Cost overruns	Cost overruns is not incurred.
Deficiencies/defects observed	No major deficiencies in work is visible or reported
Timeliness of payments to contractor	All the bills including final bill is paid.
Comments and recommendations	e-GP tender ensure Tranferancy and timeliness. So Major procuremnts should be done through e-GP system.

F(12) Format of Physical Inspection

Procurement item name and number	Name: Improvement of Shibpur –Dulapur-Lakpur-Hatirdia Roadby Strengthening and surfacing from 3rd km to7th km under Narsingdi Road Division durin the year 2015-2016. Number: 32/e-GP/SE/DRC/2015-2016
Location	Upazila:-Shibpur District ;Narsingdi

	Under Narsingdi Road Division of RHD
Date of visit	20/05/18 & 21/05/18
Date of contract	28 /04/2016
Contracted completion date (original)	28/06/2016 (60 Days)
Actual completion date	28/06/2016 (60 Days)
Contract value	BDT 31409153.10
Final contract value	BDT 31409153.10
Supplier/Contractor	Classic Builders
Arrival date at port (Goods)	N/A
Arrival date at project site/warehouse Goods)	N/A
Scope of work	Surfacing work on existing road to improve the present condition.
Materials (supply and quality)	N/A
Workmanship quality	It is apparently good looking
Construction supervision	The construction supervision seems was good
Time overruns	No
Cost overruns	Cost overruns is not incurred.
Deficiencies/defects observed	No major deficiencies in work is visible or reported
Timeliness of payments to contractor	All the payments made
Comments and recommendations	e-GP tender ensure Tranferancy and timeliness. So Major procuremnts should be done through e-GP system.

